## $\frac{\textbf{NEW JERSEY MEADOWLANDS COMMISSION}}{\textbf{AGENDA}}$

DATE: TIME: PLACE: RE:	January 10, 2007 2:00 p.m. Lyndhurst, New Jer MONTHLY MEETII	· ·	_
1.	Pledge of Allegiance		
2.	Sunshine Law Statemer	nt	
3.	Roll Call		
4.	REVIEW OF MINUTES	5 - November 28, 2006	Pg. 1
5.	SPECIAL PRESENTAT	<ul><li>ION - Signing of Memorandum of Understanding for Meadowlands Workforce Development.</li></ul>	
6.	PUBLIC PARTICIPATI	ON ON RESOLUTIONS	
7.	CONSENT AGENDA		
	Resolution No. 07-01	Consideration of a Resolution Regarding 1 Bulk Variance for Block 108.05, Lot 2.02, In the Borough of Little Ferry (File #06-112, Setag Assoc./Verizon Wireless/Little Ferry- Emergency Generator).	Pg. 5
8.	NIMC COMPREHENS	IVE ACTION PLAN	
	(Presentation by Center	for Public Performance at Rutgers University)	
	Resolution No 07-02	Consideration of a Resolution Approving the NJMC Comprehensive Action Plan.	Pg. 8

## NEW JERSEY MEADOWLANDS COMMISSION AGENDA

MONTH	ILY MEETING	Pg. 2	_
9.	ECONOMIC DEVELO	PMENT	
	Resolution No. 07-03	Consideration of a Resolution Approving the NJMC's 2007 Economic Development Plan.	Pg. 11
10.	POLLUTANT STUDY		
	Resolution No. 07-04	Consideration of a Resolution Renewing The Kearny Marsh Pollutant Study for One Additional Year.	Pg. 29
11.	LANDSCAPE MAINT	<u>ENANCE</u>	
	Resolution No. 07-05	Consideration of a Resolution Authorizing The Executive Director To Enter Into a Contract for Landscape Maintenance For DeKorte Park, Three Wetland Sites and Two Landfill Sites.	Pg. 32
12.	RIVER BARGE PARK		
	Resolution No. 07-06	Consideration of a Resolution Authorizing The Executive Director To Enter Into a Contract Concerning Wood Salvage and Demolition at the River Barge Park in Carlstadt.	Pg. 34
13.	<u>HOUSING</u>		
	Resolution No. 07-07	Consideration of a Resolution Adopting Regulations Governing Affordable Housing.	Pg. 36

## NEW JERSEY MEADOWLANDS COMMISSION AGENDA

MONTE	ILY MEETING	Pg. 3	_
14.	<u>LEGAL</u>		
	Resolution No. 07-08	Consideration of a Resolution Authorizng The Final Payment to McManimon & Scotland for legal services.	Pg. 38
15.	OYSTER HABITAT ST	TUDY	
	Resolution No. 07-09	Consideration of a Resolution Authorizing The Executive Director To Allocate Funds To Rutgers University for an Oyster Habitat Development Feasibility Study in the Hackensack River.	Pg. 40
16.	RUTGERS-NEWARK	FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM	
	Resolution No. 07-10	Consideration of a Resolution Supporting The Renewal of the Rutgers-Newark Fellowship Program.	Pg. 43
17.	<u>TAX SHARING</u>		
	Resolution No. 07-11	Consideration of a Resolution Certifying The 2007 Intermunicipal Tax Sharing Pool.	Pg. 45
18.	MEADOWLANDS CO	ONSERVATION TRUST	
	Resolution No. 07-12	Consideration of a Resolution Appropriating Funds to the Meadowlands Conservation  Trust for Calendar Year 2007	Pg. 65

## NEW JERSEY MEADOWLANDS COMMISSION AGENDA

### MONTHLY MEETING

Pg. 4

### 19. OPEN SPACE ACQUISITION

Resolution No. 07-13 Consideration of a Resolution Appropriating Pg. 67 Additional Funds for Surveying Services.

### 20. DRAINAGE SYSTEM MAINTENANCE

Resolution No. 07-14 Consideration of a Resolution Authorizing Pg. 70
The Executive Director to Enter Into a Contract
For the Maintenance of the Asia Place Drainage
System.

### 21. REPORTS

- A. Commissioners
- B. Executive Director
- C. Directors
- D. HMMC Executive Director

### 22. NEW BUSINESS

### 23. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

### 24. CLOSED SESSION

A. Adopt Resolution No. 07-15 to hold Closed Session.

- Pg. 73
- B. Adjournment to Closed Session. The Commission Reserves the Right to Reconvene into Public Session, if necessary, to take Action on Closed Session Items.

## PLEASE CONTACT THE NJMC OFFICE (201-460-1700) PRIOR TO MEETING IF SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS ARE NEEDED UNDER ADA

### NEW JERSEY MEADOWLANDS COMMISSION

DATE:

November 28, 2006

TIME:

9:00 a.m.

PLACE:

Lyndhurst, NJ

RE:

MONTHLY MEETING MINUTES

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

Susan Bass Levin, Chairman

Eleanore Nissley James Anzevino Michael Gonnelli Leonard R. Kaiser Arleen Walther

STAFF PRESENT:

Robert R. Ceberio, Executive Director

Irfan Bora, Director of Finance & Management/

Chief Financial Officer

Thomas Marturano, Director of Natural Resources Ileana Kafrouni, Director of Land Use Management

Francisco Artigas, Director of MERI Christine A. Sanz, Chief Counsel

Christine Piatek, Deputy Attorney General

Fred J. Dressel, HMMC

Lynn Johnson, Executive Assistant

- 1. Pledge of Allegiance
- 2. Executive Director Ceberio read the Notice of Meeting required under the Sunshine Law.
- Roll Call
- 4. REVIEW OF MINUTES

Minutes of the October 13, 2006 meeting was moved by Commissioner Nissley, seconded by Commissioner Walther and carried with Chairman Levin abstaining.

### 5. SPECIAL PRESENTATION

• GIS - Presentation of laptops. Chairman Levin presented laptops to the town representatives of North Arlington, North Bergen and Kearny. Meadowlands Employment Opportunity Center
 Mr. Ceberio introduced Jim Kirkos of the Chamber of Commerce who gave a
 presentation on the status of the Meadowlands Employment Opportunity
 Center.

### 6. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON RESOLUTIONS

Margaret Schak spoke on Resolution 06-94.

### SCIENCE CENTER/OBSERVATORY

Resolution No. 06-93 - Resolution authorizing the Executive Director to enter into a contract for the construction of an observatory and 10,000 s.f. classroom building.

Motion to adopt this resolution was made by Commissioner Gonnelli, seconded by Commissioner Walther and unanimously carried.

### 8. FLOOD CONTROL

<u>Resolution No. 06-94</u> - Resolution authorizing a Memorandum of Agreement with the New Jersey Department of Transportation for Route 17 Drainage Improvements.

Motion to adopt the resolution was made by Commissioner Kasier, seconded by Commissioner Walther and unanimously carried.

### 9. <u>AUDITS</u>

Resolution No. 06-95 - Resolution accepting audits of Landfill Closure Funds.

Motion to adopt the resolution was made by Commissioner Anzevino, seconded by Commissioner Gonnelli and unanimously carried.

### 10. PAYMENT IN LIEU OF TAX AGREEMENT

<u>Resolution No. 06-96</u> - Resolution authorizing the Executive Director to enter into an Agreement with the Borough of Carlstadt regarding In Lieu of Tax Payments.

Motion to adopt the resolution was made by Commissioner Walther, seconded by Commissioner Nissley and carried with Commissioner Gonnelli abstaining.

### 11. CODE OF ETHICS

Resolution 06-97 – Resolution to adopt a Supplemental Code of Ethics.

Motion to adopt the resolution was made by Commissioner Nissley, seconded by Commissioner Kaiser and unanimously carried.

### 12. SOLID WASTE

<u>Resolution 06-98</u> – Resolution approving update to the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission Solid Waste Management Plan.

Motion to adopt the resolution was made by Commissioner Walther, seconded by Commissioner Anzevino and unanimously carried.

### 13. MEADOWLANDS ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE

<u>Resolution 06-99</u> – Resolution authorizing the Executive Director to enter into an agreement with Rutgers University – Newark to provide advanced atmospheric chemistry services.

Motion to adopt the resolution was made by Commissioner Nissley, seconded by Commissioner Gonnelli and unanimously carried.

### 14. HOUSING

<u>Resolution 06-100</u> - Resolution authorizing a partnership with the Borough of Carlstadt in furtherance of satisfying the Borough's Affordable Housing obligations.

Motion to adopt the resolution was made by Commissioner Kaiser, seconded by Commissioner Walther and unanimously carried.

### 15. <u>SECURITY</u>

<u>Resolution 06-101</u> - Resolution authorizing the Executive Director to enter into an agreement to hire a security company for the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission Office Complex, Environment Center and Observatory Building and Parks.

Motion to adopt the resolution was made by Commissioner Gonnelli, seconded by Commissioner Anzevino and unanimously carried.

### 23. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

• Lenny Nix

### 24. <u>CLOSED SESSION</u>

The following issues were taken into consideration:

- Pesce
- NYS&W

Motion to enter into closed session was made by Commissioner Anzevino, seconded by Commissioner Nissley and unanimously carried.

Meeting adjourned.

ROBERT R. CEBERIO, SECRETARY

# RESOLUTION ISSUING A DECISION ON THE VARIANCE APPLICATION SUBMITTED AS PART OF FILE NO. 06-112 SETAG ASSOC/VERIZON WIRELESS/ LITTLE FERRY-EMERGENCY GENERATOR BLOCK 108.05, LOT 2.02, IN THE BOROUGH OF LITTLE FERRY

WHEREAS, an application for one (1) bulk variance was filed with the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission ("NJMC") by Michael R. Beck, Esq., with the firm of Hiering, Dupignac, Stanzione & Dunn, P.C., on behalf Verizon Wireless, for the premises identified as 223 Gates Road, Block 108.05, Lot 2.02, in the Borough of Little Ferry; and

WHEREAS, the applicant requested relief from N.J.A.C. 19:4-5.84(a)3iii, which requires a minimum rear yard setback of 30 feet. The applicant is proposing to construct an emergency generator approximately 21 feet from the rear lot line adjacent to Block 107, Lot 2.01; and

WHEREAS, notice of the requested variance relief was given to the public and all interested parties as required by law and was published in The Record and The Star - Ledger; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held in the Office of the NJMC on September 12, 2006, before Sharon Mascaró, Chief Engineer and Kam Chan, Staff Engineer; and

WHEREAS, a comprehensive report date November 22, 2006, has been prepared indicating the recommendations of the Director of Land Use Management and the Executive Director in this matter; and

WHEREAS, the report recommends conditional approval of the bulk variance request to permit a rear yard setback of 21 feet, where 30 feet is required, on the subject premises; and

WHEREAS, the members of the NJMC have reviewed the full record including the transcripts of the public hearings, recommendations on the application by the Director of Land Use Management and the Executive Director, the submissions of the applicant and all written public comments; and

WHEREAS, the members of the NJMC concur with the recommendations of the Director of Land Use Management and the Executive Director; and

WHEREAS, the NJMC hereby determines that the requested variance to permit a rear yard setback of 21 feet, where 30 feet is required, on the subject

premises conforms with the standards for approving applications for variances as set forth in N.J.A.C. 19:4-4.14(e).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission, that Verizon Wireless emergency generator for one bulk variance to permit a rear yard setback of 16 feet, where 30 feet is required, on the subject premises is hereby APPROVED WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS for the reasons set forth in the November 22, 2006 recommendation:

1. The finished elevation of the concrete pad shall be established at 10 feet, which is one (1) foot above the applicable 100-year base flood elevation as determined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

The foregoing was adopted on Commission vote.

Susan Bass Levin Chairman

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true copy of the Resolution adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission at its meeting of January 10, 2007.

Robert R. Ceberio Secretary

Resolution No. 07-01

### Memorandum

### **New Jersey Meadowlands Commission**

To:	NJMC Commissioners and Robert R. Ceberio, Executive Director			
From:	Ileana Kafrouni	Date: January 10, 2007		
Subject:	Variance Recommendation: Setag As	ssoc/Omnipoint Communications (File 05-051)		

An application for one (1) bulk variance was filed with the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission by Michael R. Beck, Esq., with the firm of Hiering, Dupignac, Stanzione & Dunn, P.C., on behalf Verizon Wireless, for the premises identified as 223 Gates Road, Block 108.05, Lot 2.02, in the Borough of Little Ferry, New Jersey. Said premises are located in the Commission's Light Industrial B (LIB) zone. The variance is sought in connection with the applicant's proposal to construct an emergency generator on the subject premises.

The applicant requested relief from N.J.A.C. 19:4-5.84(a)3iii, which requires a minimum rear yard setback of 30 feet. The applicant is proposing to construct an emergency generator approximately 21 feet from the rear lot line adjacent to Block 107, Lot 2.01. A public hearing on this matter was held in the Office of the NJMC on September 12, 2006.

In a comprehensive report dated November 22, 2006, the Executive Director and I recommended conditional approval of the bulk variance request in connection with the applicant's proposal to permit a rear yard setback of 21 feet, where 30 feet is required, with the conditions that the finished elevation of the concrete pad shall be established at 10 feet, which is one (1) foot above the applicable 100-year base flood elevation as determined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM). This report was forwarded to the applicant on November 27, 2005. The applicant indicated in a letter received December 4, 2006 that they would not be appealing this recommendation.

At this time, the Commission is required to issue a decision on the variance application described above. A resolution requesting the same is attached for your consideration.

## RESOLUTOIN AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO IMPLEMENT THE NEW JERSEY MEADOWLANDS COMPREHENSIVE ACTION PLAN

WHEREAS, the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission at its March 29, 2006 meeting authorized staff to work with Rutgers University's Center for Public Performance to develop a re-engineered organization that will align budgeting, personnel and strategic planning to a system of performance measures and evaluations; and

WHEREAS, it is the policy of the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission to undertake steps to maximize efficiencies and effectiveness of its operations; and

WHEREAS, the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission's Comprehensive Action Plan addresses performance accountability that meets or exceeds public demand especially during these times of budget stresses; and

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission that the NJMC Comprehensive Action Plan as prepared by the Center for Public Performance at Rutgers University is hereby adopted and that the Plan shall go into effect January 1, 2007.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the implementation of the NJMC Comprehensive Plan will not require additional financial resources.

The foregoing resolution was adopted by Commission vote.

Susan Bass Levin Chairman

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true copy of the Resolution adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission at its meeting of January 10, 2007.

Robert R. Ceberio Secretary

Resolution No. 07-02

### Memorandum

### **New Jersey Meadowlands Commission**

То:	NJMC Commissioners		
From:	Robert R. Ceberio	Date: January 10, 2007	_
Subject:	Comprehensive Action Plan		

In March 2006, the Commission authorized the Executive Director to enter into a contract with the National Center for Public Performance at Rutgers University for the purpose of preparing the following:

- 1. Strategic Plan
- 2. Measures to gauge agency performance
- 3. A new system of employee performance evaluation
- 4. Performance Budget
- Five Year Financial Plan

Rutgers University has prepared all the elements listed above in a document called the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission Comprehensive Action Plan. This plan was developed in recognition of the changing environmental and economic landscape. A wide range of stakeholders, including environmentalists, businesses and mayors participated in this strategic planning process.

The NJMC Strategic Plan, which is the heart of the Comprehensive Action Plan, sets out an ambitious road map to follow in five key areas. They are:

- 1. Environmental Protection
- 2. Economic Development
- 3. Solid Waste Management
- Provision of Regional Services
- 5. Organizational Efficiency

Among those elements twenty (20) specific goals have been identified.

In developing the Plan, the agency focused on sharpening its focus on achieving measurable results. The performance measures are critical elements in this performance-based management system. These measures provide an ongoing assessment of how well the organization is meeting the goals and objectives set forth in the Strategic Plan.

In terms of Human Resource Management, the system proposed using a management-by-objective (MBO) process to identify each employee's operational activities that contribute to specific organizational goals, thereby linking employee performance to organizational performance.

To answer Governor Corzine's call for more efficient and effective government, the NJMC will be one of the first agencies to use performance-based budgeting. The introduction of performance budgeting carries significant rewards. The use of performance data to inform budget decisions can improve the decision-making process and the agency's outcomes. This system also increases the potential to save time and money by linking dollars with results.

The NJMC Five Year Plan is an essential element of the NJMC Comprehensive Action Plan. It forecasts agency revenues and expenses from 2007 to 2011 and shows how the agency intends to spend its budget to deliver services during the period. The plan calls for a budget growth rate of approximately 3.5% per year. Considering the projects and programs outlined in the Financial Plan, the growth rate is very minimal. The efficiencies to be implemented in the NJMC Comprehensive Plan is the key to maximizing resources to priority areas.

# RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO IMPLEMENT THE STRATEGIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS OUTLINED IN THE NEW JERSEY MEADOWLANDS COMMISSION 2007 ECONOMIC GROWTH PLAN

WHEREAS, the attached New Jersey Meadowlands Commission 2007 Economic Growth Plan contains the NJMC staff's strategies and recommendations for economic growth policies and initiatives to encourage economic growth in the region in 2007; and

WHEREAS, it is the opinion of staff that the NJMC should authorize Rutgers University to collect yearly economic data for the District in order to develop economic growth strategies that effectively address the needs of the economy; and

WHEREAS, this information, in conjunction with input from the NJMC stakeholders should be used to develop a yearly economic growth plan for the Meadowlands region; and

WHEREAS, this economic growth plan should be publicly introduced at an annual Meadowlands Economic Summit such as the one hosted by the NJMC on September 19, 2006; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Director of the NJMC will implement the strategies and recommendations of the annual economic growth report upon approval by the NJMC Board of Commissioners.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission that the strategies and recommendations articulated in the attached *New Jersey Meadowlands Commission 2007 Economic Growth Plan* be implemented to their fullest by the Executive Director; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Executive Director shall work with staff to develop an annual Economic Growth Plan for the Meadowlands region for approval by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission, and publicly introduced to the public at an annual Meadowlands Economic Summit.

The foregoing Resolution was adopted by Commission vote.

Susan Bass Levin Chairman

I hereby	y certify the foregoing to be a true copy of the Resolution adopted by	y
the New Jersey	y Meadowlands Commission at its meeting of January 10, 2007.	

Robert R. Ceberio Secretary

Resolution No. 07-03

### Memorandum

### **New Jersey Meadowlands Commission**

To:	NJMC Commissioners		
From:	Robert R. Ceberio	Date:	January 10, 2007
Subject:_	2007 Economic Growth Plan		

The New Jersey Meadowlands District is home to a strong, vibrant and changing economic engine. To continue meeting the challenges of the 21st century, the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission (NJMC) must work to coordinate and implement policies and strategies that best promote and enhance the region's economy. Therefore, this report outlines five strategies and several recommendations to be implemented by the NJMC to best serve the region's economic needs. Together, these strategies and recommendations emphasize a regional coordination of information and resources focused on economic growth in the Meadowlands Region. The following strategies and recommendations are outlined in this report:

- First, the NJMC should work to coordinate efforts and message for the region's economic growth efforts. To do this, it is recommended that the NJMC establish an Economic Growth Meeting Group, improve business access to state assistance, and develop procedures to effectively monitor and evaluate economic growth strategies in the Meadowlands region.
- Second, the NJMC should work to develop and prepare the workforce by establishing a Meadowlands Workforce Development Consortium.
- Third, the NJMC should work to maintain, develop and upgrade the infrastructure of the region by promoting responsible land use planning policies, improving the transportation system, implementing policies that reduce the impacts of flooding, initiating policies that increase the region's independence from traditional energy sources while reducing the region's greenhouse gas emission impacts, and researching alternative waste disposal methods.
- Fourth, the NJMC should target and direct future economic growth by targeting the business industries and sectors, stated in the Governor's Economic Growth Strategy Report for economic growth efforts, establishing a Meadowlands Business Incubator to attract and assist current and future businesses, and supporting small, women-owned, minority-owned and local businesses consistent with Governor Corzine's Executive Order number 34.
- Last, the NJMC should work to increase government efficiency by increasing the sharing of municipal services, expanding the Municipal Equipment Pool, and streamlining permitting rules and application processes where possible.

To implement these strategies and recommendations it is proposed that the NJMC establish and Office of Economic Growth. This Office will be charged with the execution, oversight, development, and communication of policies relating to economic growth at the NJMC. If adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Board of Commissioners, these strategies will constitute the NJMC's economic growth strategies for 2007.

### The New Jersey Meadowlands Commission 2007 Economic Growth Plan

### INTRODUCTION

The New Jersey Meadowlands District is home to a strong, vibrant and evolving economic engine. To continue meeting the challenges of the 21<sup>st</sup> century, the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission (NJMC) must work to coordinate and implement policies and strategies that best promote and enhance the region's economy. Therefore, this report outlines five strategies and several recommendations to be implemented by the NJMC to best serve the region's economic needs. Together, these strategies and recommendations emphasize a regional coordination of information and resources focused on economic growth in the Meadowlands region. The following strategies and recommendations are outlined in this report:

- First, the NJMC should work to coordinate efforts and develop a coherent marketing message for the region's economic growth efforts. To do this, it is recommended that the NJMC establish an Economic Growth Strategy Group, improve business access to state assistance, and develop procedures to effectively monitor and evaluate economic growth strategies in the Meadowlands region.
- Second, the NJMC should work to develop and prepare the workforce by establishing a Meadowlands Workforce Development Consortium.
- Third, the NJMC should work to maintain, develop and upgrade the infrastructure of the region by promoting responsible land use planning policies, improving the transportation system, implementing policies that reduce the impacts of flooding, initiating policies that increase the region's independence from traditional energy sources while reducing the region's greenhouse gas emission impacts, and researching alternative waste disposal methods.
- Fourth, the NJMC should foster future economic growth by targeting the business industries and sectors, cited in the Governor's Economic Growth Strategy Report for economic growth efforts, establishing a Meadowlands Business Incubator to attract and assist current and future businesses, and supporting small, women-owned, minority-owned and local businesses consistent with Governor Corzine's Executive Order Number 34.
- Last, the NJMC should work to increase government efficiency by increasing the sharing of municipal services, expanding the Municipal Equipment Pool, and assisting applicants in properly moving through permitting and application processes.

To implement these strategies and recommendations, it is proposed that the NJMC establish an Office of Economic Growth within its administrative offices at One DeKorte Park Plaza in Lyndhurst, New Jersey. This Office will be charged with the execution, oversight, development, and communication of policies relating to economic growth at the NJMC. If adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Board of Commissioners, these strategies will constitute the NJMC's economic growth strategies for 2007.

#### BACKGROUND

The New Jersey Meadowlands District (District) is comprised of a 30.4 square-mile region located five miles west of New York City with approximately 500,000 residents. The region is served by six major roadways, ten rail lines and eight passenger rail stations. In addition, the Meadowlands region is home to several bus services, three "park and rides," Teterboro Airport, and is proximate to some of the busiest ports and airports in the world. The District's close proximity to ports, airports and other transportation resources closely links the region with a growing global economy. This infrastructure, combined with the skilled and dedicated workforce available in the region, has helped to provide the Meadowlands District with a strong, vibrant and growing economy.

Before the advent of the NJMC, certain forms of economic development in the District took priority over environmental concerns. While this stimulated economic growth in the short term, it also left polluted land and a mounting environmental burden on current and future generations. The cost of mismanagement of environmental resources is being felt today, as we are forced to deal with contaminated water and soil, while protecting what is left of our depleted environmental infrastructure.

The NJMC has made environmental protection a priority for the region and has enacted numerous measures to try to remediate the pollution that has been left. A significant step towards changing the way growth and development has occurred in the region was taken in January 2004 when the NJMC unveiled its first Master Plan in more than 30 years. This move was further bolstered at the first Meadowlands Economic Conference, in December 2004, when the NJMC established a long range financial support structure for Master Plan objectives by establishing a \$33 million fund. This master plan provides the foundation and vision for development, economic growth and environmental protection for the region and has spurred the implementation of each of the NJMC's mandates.

Today, the NJMC is successfully weaving its three mandates of development, environmental protection and waste management together to promote a more responsible and effective policy approach to planning and guiding development for the District. For example, today the NJMC's land use regulations include incentives for developers to adhere to "green building principles," and staff is exploring alternative fuel uses of the methane that is collected from its landfills. In addition, the NJMC is pursuing policies to decrease the region's dependence on traditional fossil fuel-based energy sources by promoting renewable energy technologies and energy efficiency. This initiative will not only help insulate businesses from volatile energy prices, but it will help in reducing regional greenhouse gas emissions which are contributing to global climate change. These policies are examples of how the NJMC is proving that economic development and environmental protection can coexist.

### **CURRENT ECONOMIC DATA**

According to an economic growth assessment conducted by Rutgers University for the Meadowlands District, the District is currently home to 80,000 jobs with 57,000 additional jobs forecasted by the year 2020. This increase in jobs is expected to add \$4.8 billion in annual wealth, \$3.3 billion in labor income, and \$139 million in local property taxes. The NJMC's

Department of Land Use Management estimates that there will be a proportional addition of new housing units, reflective of this growth. In summary, the New Jersey Meadowlands will experience an increase in housing and a 70 percent increase in jobs over the next 15 years. The NJMC is currently evaluating this data to assess the capacity of the existing and planned infrastructure for the region and to plan for the region's needs. In addition, this report will address many of the concerns raised by Rutgers' economic assessment of the region.

At the second Meadowlands Economic Conference in September 2006, Rutgers University Professors Nancy Mantell and Michael Lahr provided the following table to compare and contrast the current breakdown of the industries represented in the Meadowlands District, Bergen and Hudson Counties, and the State of New Jersey.

FIGURE 1

	•	Bergen-	
•	M eadowlands	Hudson- Passaic	New Jersey
Construction and Other	3 %	4%	4 %
M anufacturing	27%	9 %	8 %
W holesale trade	18%	8 %	6%
Retail trade	9 %	11%	1 2 %
Transportation & Warehousing	12%	5 %	4%
U tilities	0 %	0%	0%
Inform ation	5 %	3 %	2 %
Finance & insurance	3 %	7 %	5 %
Real estate & rental	1%	2 %	1 %
Professional & related services	5 %	6%	7%
Management of companies	0%	3 %	2 %
m g m t	4 %	7 %	6%
Educational services	1 %	2 %	2%
Health care & social services	4 %	12%	12%
Arts & Entertainment	2 %	1 %	1 %
Hospitality services	4 %	6%	7 %
Other private services	1 %	4 %	4 %
Public administration	1 %	13%	16%

This information provides us with a snapshot in time of which industries are most prevalent in the Meadowlands District today. Bergen, Hudson and Passaic Counties appear to be microcosms of the state's proportionate distribution of jobs, while the Meadowlands District is drastically different. The Meadowlands District has a far greater representation from the manufacturing, retail trade and transportation and warehousing industries than the rest of the counties and the state overall. Rutgers University estimated that job distribution in the region will shift dramatically by the year 2020, with the largest percentage of jobs growing in retail trade, business services and finance.

In the face of so much change, the region must be proactive in shaping its own economic destiny. A central vision and strategy is necessary to focus the region's resources on future economic growth. Strategies must be enacted to promote the economic strengths in the region, direct

future economic growth and make the needed adjustments to meet the tomorrow's demands with today's information. As a multi-faceted state agency, the NJMC is uniquely positioned to take the lead on this effort by coordinating resources, vision and policies pertaining to the economic vitality of the region. The NJMC's role as a regional facilitator of policy with its local municipalities, counties, sister state agencies, and state departments makes it uniquely qualified to spearhead, facilitate and coordinate the District's economic growth strategies.

In prior years, the Meadowlands region only had to compete with growing metropolises around the country for the location of businesses and jobs. In today's global economy, New Jersey's businesses and economic regions compete with other enterprises and economic nodes around the world. Businesses are mobile and constantly searching for locations and talent that offer a competitive edge. The NJMC must actively and effectively build a favorable business climate that will help existing businesses remain and grow in the region while attracting new companies and well-paying jobs. The NJMC must consider the impact that public policies will have on the business climate, capital investments and ultimately job growth.

The following Economic Growth Plan identifies the region's five strategies for growth and articulates the specific action steps that the NJMC will take, in partnership with state and local governments, business, labor, education and community leaders, to encourage and support the creation of jobs. The NJMC can ensure that existing resources are used in a tightly-focused and organized manner to support economic growth.

### STRATEGY 1: Coordinating Efforts and Message for the Region

In today's economy, the Meadowlands District must compete with business centers surrounding New York City, throughout the country and the world. Advances in telecommunications and transportation have allowed companies to locate their places of business on other continents while still delivering their products to consumers in the northeastern United States. Changes in the needs and concerns of the business community are a constant, as business leaders continually seek new ways to be competitive and successful. The Meadowlands region can not afford to be reactive to these changes, but must find a way to meet these needs and establish itself as a thriving economic engine. At the first Meadowlands Economic Conference, a policy goal was established to mark this region out as a critical component of the tri-state economic engine. Economic data developed since then has brought into focus the Meadowlands' role within the regional and national economy. To implement this strategy further, the NJMC should consider implementing the following recommendations:

First, it is recommended that the NJMC establish an Economic Growth Strategy Group. The NJMC must take the lead on coordinating and implementing economic growth strategies for the region. To best accomplish this goal, the NJMC should work with state and local governments to develop and implement marketing strategies for the region. The NJMC should coordinate the region's efforts with the Governor Corzine's recently released *Economic Growth Strategy for the State of New Jersey 2007* and also with the following state and local agencies:

- New Jersey Meadowlands Commission
- Governor's Office of Economic Growth
- New Jersey Economic Development Authority
- New Jersey Commerce, Economic Growth and Tourism Commission

- Meadowlands Regional Chamber of Commerce
- Hudson County Workforce Investment Board and Economic Development Office
- Bergen County Workforce Investment Board and Economic Development Office
- 14 Meadowlands Municipalities

Representatives designated by each of these entities will comprise the NJMC Economic Growth Group. The local organizations such as the Bergen County and Hudson County Workforce Investment Boards and Meadowlands Regional Chamber of Commerce will provide the NJMC with data and feedback specific to the region, while state agencies, such as the Governor's Office of Economic Growth, will help the NJMC coordinate the region's efforts with the Governor's statewide economic growth strategies. This group will work together to ensure that resources and policies targeted at economic growth are coordinated and focused on a singular vision. NJMC staff should meet at least on a quarterly basis with this group to discuss and evaluate economic growth strategies for the region. This group will provide the NJMC with valuable insight and information specific to both the region and the state to be used in the formulation and coordination of economic growth policies and marketing efforts.

In particular, the NJMC should work closely with the Meadowlands Regional Chamber of Commerce, building upon the marketing successes of the Meadowlands Liberty Convention and Visitors Bureau, its information kiosks, training programs, annual trade shows and events. The experience gained by the Chamber while marketing the region as a tourism destination, as well as the Chamber's established link to the business community, will be invaluable in the creation of a marketing strategy specifically targeting businesses.

Second, it is recommended that the NJMC embark on a series of initiatives to improve business access to state assistance. The NJMC should implement a series of changes to provide increased access to businesses seeking government assistance. The NJMC should consider establishing a telephone hotline for businesses to call for information regarding available forms of government assistance. All business inquiries will be handled by staff, including but not limited to questions about NJMC and state land use regulations, questions on roads, utilities, rail lines and other infrastructure in the region, business assistance programs available from state and local governments, and any other questions, comments or concerns that businesses currently located in the region, or considering locating to the region, may have. NJMC staff will work with state and local governments to provide assistance to the business community. In addition, it is recommended that a description of NJMC services for businesses be included on the agency's website with an e-mail link for questions, comments and concerns. The site will build upon presentations and data from the Meadowlands Economic Summit to include economic growth reports prepared by the Edward J. Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy of Rutgers University. This website will serve as the face of the region's economic growth strategies, activities and statistics. All inquiries from businesses will be answered by the NJMC staff with activity logs kept to compile data on relevant issues and frequent concerns. In addition, the Business Incubator described in Strategy 4 of this report, will provide businesses with another resource for businesses to obtain information.

Last, it is recommended that the NJMC develop procedures that effectively monitor and evaluate economic growth strategies in the Meadowlands region. The NJMC should authorize Rutgers University, Edward J. Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy to conduct a detailed economic growth study for the Meadowlands District on an annual basis.

This study will assist the NJMC with the formulation of economic growth strategies based upon current and projected economic data for the District, the region (including the two counties), and the state. This study should include the following information:

- Percentage breakdowns of the industries represented in the District, region and state
- Five, ten and fifteen year forecasts of industry trends in the District
- Regional, national and global economic data for the strength or weaknesses of the industries currently located in the District
- An inventory of current and future infrastructure demands by industries in the region
- A current breakdown of the workforce living in the region compared to the workforce working in the region.

This information will be used by the NJMC, in conjunction with state and local government entities, to develop yearly economic growth policies, goals and deliverables. The NJMC should work with the Bloustein School to focus this study on data that is most relevant to current economic trends, projected economic trends, or economic growth strategies in the District.

In addition, the NJMC staff should work to develop an electronic comprehensive tracking system for the agency's and region's economic growth policies, projects and data. The tracking system will track all economic growth projects in the region, with all activities outlined in this report including the work of the Business Incubator. NJMC staff will constantly update and monitor this information. The tracking system will provide a yearly summary of all economic growth activities undertaken by the NJMC.

An annual summary of the NJMC's and region's economic growth activities (provided from the tracking system) will be provided in an annual NJMC Economic Growth Report. This report will include the region's successes or failures at meeting various economic goals and deliverables as they are established by the NJMC, and outline the goals and deliverables for the following year. The report should be publicly introduced by each December 1<sup>st</sup>. This report will effectively provide the NJMC and region with an annual report card to be used when drafting future economic policies, and allow for comments, questions or concerns to be addressed by the agency's stakeholders. Also, this document will promote transparency and openness about the NJMC's economic growth policies, strategies and vision for the upcoming year.

Together, these recommendations will help to establish a system that coordinates the resources of the region and state, and establishes an open line of communication to the business community. The website, telephone hotline, and annual Economic Growth Report will help establish strong communication lines while implementing, coordinating, and communicating economic growth strategies.

### STRATEGY 2: Develop and Prepare the Workforce

At the second Meadowlands Economic Conference, Rutgers University presented data predicting a major industry shift within the Meadowlands region. In response to this anticipated industry shift, the Meadowlands Regional Chamber of Commerce is currently working with the John J. Heldrich Center for Workforce Development of Rutgers University to collect information and recommendations on how to best train and equip the region's workforce for future industry demands. The NJMC must share this information with its constituents and coordinate programs

and policies that best prepare the workforce for the shifting business climate of the Meadowlands.

To effectively enact this strategy, it is recommended that the NJMC establish a Meadowlands Workforce Development Consortium. In this competitive global workplace environment, the NJMC must work to meet the employment needs of the region by assisting the region's job seekers, including future workforce members currently in the education system, to obtain the skills and education needed in a competitive workplace environment. The members of this consortium will consist of the following:

- New Jersey Meadowlands Commission
- Meadowlands Regional Chamber of Commerce (MRCC)
- Bergen County Workforce Investment Board (BCWIB)
- Hudson County Workforce Investment Board (HCWIB)
- Bergen County Community College
- Hudson County Community College
- Local Labor Unions

The purpose of this group will be to review demographic data of the existing regional workforce and determine what additional skills will be needed to accommodate the projected industry growth in the region and how to best meet these workforce needs. This group will submit relevant information for posting on the NJMC's website link for businesses, which will include a link to the MRCC's job bank. The NJMC will chair the Consortium, and coordinate all meetings and relevant exchange of information between members. A summary of the activities undertaken by this group will be presented to the Commission on an annual basis along with recommendations to improve workforce development.

The Consortium will be directed to create two subcommittees to provide targeted support and information pertaining to its mission statement. The first subcommittee will focus on education needs for the region and should consist of representatives from the local school districts and the local colleges and universities, as well as the Bergen and Hudson County Community College Consortium members. The education subcommittee will provide the consortium will relevant guidance, recommendations and information pertaining to the education and training focuses of the region's students. Specifically, this subcommittee will provide the consortium with valuable statistics on enrollment, majors, professional clubs and organizations, and other information deemed relevant by the consortium. Initially, this subcommittee should conduct an audit of future career programs available in the Meadowlands District for high school, vocational school and college students. This information will be useful to the Consortium to determine the current links to the workforce with the local high schools and colleges.

The second subcommittee will focus on economic development and should consist of the Bergen and Hudson County Economic Development Commission, as well as the MRCC, BCWIB and HCWIB Consortium members. This subcommittee will provide the consortium with information pertaining to the economic development initiatives and strategies of two counties represented in the Meadowlands District. The Consortium will direct the efforts of the subcommittees, and use these efforts to assist in the formulation and implementation of strategies and policies relevant to its mission.

A strong coordinated effort is necessary to best prepare the region's workforce for the challenges of an ever-changing workforce environment. The establishment of the Meadowlands Workforce Development Consortium will formalize the process necessary to efficiently utilize the region's resources for constructive policies that effectively implement workforce development policies and initiatives.

### STRATEGY 3: Maintain, Develop and Upgrade the Infrastructure of the Region

Similar to other parts of New Jersey, the Meadowlands region faces a stressed transportation system, recurrent flooding and rising energy prices. All of these obstacles reduce the bottom line for businesses. In response, the NJMC should promote sustainable growth with an emphasis on strategic infrastructure investments, such as greyfields and brownfields redevelopment that support continued economic growth while enhancing and preserving the environment. To implement this strategy it is advised that the NJMC enact the following recommendations:

First, it is recommended that the NJMC work to further promote responsible land use planning policies throughout the Meadowlands Region. The NJMC will work with the Office of Smart Growth (OSG) of the New Jersey Department of Community Affairs (DCA), to ensure that the NJMC and Meadowlands municipalities' planning strategies are consistent with the principles of smart growth and the policies of the New Jersey State Development and Redevelopment Plan (State Plan). While the NJMC's regional zoning and planning jurisdiction spans 14 municipalities, it does not encompass the entirety of any of those municipalities. OSG and the NJMC can work closely together to link up the NJMC and State Plan jurisdictions of each municipality, to dovetail planning efforts and ensure that planning is happening comprehensively for the entirety of each municipality.

In order to do this, the NJMC should facilitate the 14 Meadowlands municipalities' participation in the State Planning Commission's Plan Endorsement process, a comprehensive planning and analysis process that evaluates a municipality's or region's planning for consistency with the State Plan. Plan Endorsement helps municipalities develop a plan for the entire community that takes into account affordable housing requirements, smart growth principles and local environmental resources. The Commission will provide, to the extent possible, financial assistance to its municipalities to support this endeavor.

Included in these efforts should be a cooperative effort on behalf of the OSG, the NJEDA, the New Jersey Redevelopment Authority (NJRA), and the NJMC to redevelop the region's greyfields and brownfields. Focusing resources on redeveloping these properties will help improve the region's economy by utilizing once vacant and under-utilized properties for economic growth. The redevelopment of greyfields and brownfields will help the region embark on new economic growth projects by recycling sites of past economic failure into future economic growth opportunities and successes. These sites can become valuable contributions to the community. A coordinated effort to redevelop these sites will provide the region with an opportunity for new economic growth while preserving the integrity of the surrounding environment.

The NJMC should work with the Council on Affordable Housing (COAH) of the DCA to obtain COAH certification for all 14 Meadowlands municipalities. A 1985 New Jersey Supreme Court ruling determined that citizens of New Jersey have a constitutional right to obtain affordable

housing. The ruling obligated municipalities to establish realistic opportunities for the provision of fair-share, low and moderate income housing through their planning and zoning authorities. Previously, the NJMC has allocated funding and provided technical assistance for municipalities to draft and implement COAH plans. The NJMC should work to ensure the region's compliance with affordable housing development. It is also recognized that facilitating a range of housing stock options and locating affordable housing adjacent to mass transit will allow a full spectrum of the District workforce to reside near their jobsites, reducing the strain placed on the transportation system.

Second, it is recommended that the NJMC work to improve the transportation system within the Meadowlands District. The NJMC must continue implementing policies that build upon the Transportation Systems Strategies outlined in the NJMC Master Plan and the Meadowlands Mobility 2030 technical report. At the first Meadowlands Economic Conference, a high priority was given to developing better regional tools to address transportation bottlenecks within the District. This was reaffirmed at the second Meadowlands Economic Conference with an emphasis on internal circulation within the District. Efforts in this direction include the completion and full implementation of the Transportation Plan for the Meadowlands Transportation Planning District. The Hackensack Meadowlands Transportation Planning District Act (enacted Jun 24, 2005), requires the development of a Transportation Plan in the Meadowlands District to establish goals, policies, needs, and improvement priorities for all modes of transportation within the District for a 20-year horizon. The Plan accounts for likely future growth within the District and identifies transportation improvements that will be needed to support anticipated development. It is recommended that this Plan be completed by May 1, 2007 and that the NJMC staff use this plan to implement strategies and policies that work to strengthen the region's transportation infrastructure.

Third, it is recommended that the NJMC implement policies that reduce the impacts of flooding in the District. In October 2004 the NJMC commenced its efforts to assist local governments with flood relief through a regional floodplain management plan. Then, in December 2004, flood relief was added to the NJMC's overall economic growth policy at the first Meadowlands Economic Conference. Consistent with these two efforts, the NJMC recently commissioned Rutgers University to conduct a Stormwater Utility study to determine the feasibility of the NJMC becoming a stormwater utility to serve the region. Under this plan, the NJMC would assume the responsibilities of upgrading and maintaining the current stormwater infrastructure and potentially serve as a first responder during flood crises. Currently, municipalities, which in many cases have the primary responsibility for many flood structures, are overburdened. Often they must contend with flood control structures that are remote, inaccessible and difficult to safely maintain.

In addition, it is the opinion of NJMC staff, and finding of the Hackensack Meadowlands Floodplain Management plan, that much of the flooding in the Meadowlands District could be alleviated if the current system were effectively maintained. This would eliminate much of the flooding that disrupts commuter and commercial vehicular flow and business operations. It is crucial that the NJMC continue work to alleviate the flooding problems in the District that negatively impact local businesses and the economy of the region. It is also recommended that the NJMC continue its telephone hotline to be used by businesses and residents to report flooding after emergency services have been notified. This information can be used to assess the

economic impacts of flooding and also can be used to mobilize first responders during flood crises.

Fourth, it is recommended that the NJMC initiate more aggressive policies that further increase the region's independence from traditional energy sources while reducing the region's greenhouse gas emission impacts on the environment. The NJMC should continue to promote and support the growth of renewable energy and energy efficiency in the region. These efforts will help drive down energy prices while protecting the environment from carbon dioxide and other harmful greenhouse gas emissions (emitted from traditional energy sources) and providing a more stable and sustainable energy source. The greenhouse gasses that are emitted from traditional energy sources contribute to global climate change which may result in a significant sea level rise that would cause wide-spread tidal flooding throughout the Meadowlands region. Global climate change stands to be a strategic challenge to economic growth in the future and though the Meadowlands District's impact on the global phenomena is small, the District cannot afford to wait for the pace of international action to match the threat.

The NJMC should examine more aggressive regulation changes that reduce the region's demand for traditional energy sources. These regulation changes should include additional renewable energy and energy efficiency requirements. The Meadowlands' Renewable Energy Master Plan, which is currently being drafted, will provide the District with detailed initial strategies and goals to best promote the implementation and installation of renewable energy technologies, alternative energy technologies, and energy efficiency applications.

In addition, the NJMC should charge the Business Incubator, outlined in Strategy 4 and Meadowlands Workforce Development Consortium, with targeting businesses in the renewable energy industry for location within the District. As energy prices rise and the threats of global climate change are realized, world leaders are beginning to look for alternative sources of energy. Renewable energy technologies promise to provide part of the solution to the world's growing energy crisis. Therefore, the NJMC should work to locate renewable energy businesses and technology centers in the Meadowlands District. These businesses promise to provide high-technology jobs and an increased supply of renewable energy products to the region. The NJMC should establish a goal of locating at least one renewable energy business in the Meadowlands District by December 31, 2007.

Furthermore, the NJMC should continue its commitment to the principles of the Kyoto Protocol for global reductions in greenhouse gas emissions. The NJMC should examine more aggressive strategies for reducing greenhouse gas emissions at the NJMC facilities and work to implement innovative policies that further reduce the District's output of greenhouse gas emissions. The Meadowlands municipalities should be further encouraged to endorse the principles of the Kyoto Protocol by signing onto the U.S. Mayors Climate Protection Agreement. In addition, the NJMC should establish a series of programs to increase awareness and knowledge about the causes and effects of global climate change. These programs should be directed at providing information to local high school students, community college students, business leaders, local political leaders, and local constituents. Specifically, it is strongly recommended that the NJMC conduct and organize a conference on Global Climate Change next year to educate the community about the subject of global significance.

Last, it is recommended that the NJMC authorize a research study to determine the feasibility of alternative waste disposal methods. It is critically important that the Meadowlands region research ways to increase its sustainability. In addition to decreasing the region's reliance on outside energy sources, the NJMC must also look for ways to decrease the region's dependence on outside sources for solid waste disposal. It is recommended that the NJMC investigate alternative methods to dispose of a portion of the region's municipal solid waste. While most orphaned, abandoned and previously unregulated landfills in the Meadowlands District have been closed and are being remediated under NJMC jurisdiction, the production of household waste in the region continues to increase with population growth. Technology continues to advance and develop alternative methods to dispose of this waste. The NJMC should authorize research to determine the feasibility of hosting such a technology in the Meadowlands District. The results of this research should be presented in next year's Meadowlands Economic Growth Plan.

The NJMC should coordinate infrastructure improvements (including transportation and energy) projects with the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey (PANYNJ) and the New Jersey Sports and Exposition Authority (NJSEA). These two entities have several large projects that will greatly impact the existing infrastructure of the region. It is important that the NJMC coordinates efforts with the PANYNJ and the NJSEA to best determine the current and future infrastructure needs of the region.

The economic vitality of the Meadowlands region will be determined by the strength of its infrastructure. This infrastructure includes the region's transportation system, susceptibility to flooding and energy security. It is necessary that these infrastructure needs are maintained, developed and upgraded for the region's economy to thrive and compete in the global economy.

### **STRATEGY 4**: Target and Direct Future Economic Growth

Governor Jon S. Corzine recently released the *Economic Growth Strategy for the State of New Jersey 2007*. This report outlines the strategies, priorities and action steps that the State of New Jersey must implement to advance its own economic growth. In this report, Governor Corzine emphasizes the types of businesses that should be targeted for growth. The report states:

"...to ensure that state resources are targeted in the most effective manner, the state's economic growth efforts will further focus on industries and sectors that are likely to benefit from state assistance and create sustainable above-averagewage job growth for the state's residents..."

(Economic Growth Strategy for the State of New Jersey, page 10).

To implement this strategy the NJMC should implement the following recommendations:

First, it is recommended that the NJMC target economic growth efforts on the specific industries and sectors stated in the Governor's Economic Growth Strategy Report. The following are industries and sectors that are most likely to benefit from NJMC work to advance the Governor's initiative and establish strategies to focus the region's resources to encourage the growth of the following businesses as stated in the Governor's Economic Growth Report:

- Small and medium-sized businesses, which represent 96 percent of all businesses and 36 percent of all jobs. The state's small and medium-sized businesses are most likely to benefit from state assistance as they work to remain profitable and grow.
- Jobs that pay above-median salaries and provide health benefits. The state's economic growth efforts should be focused on creating well-paying jobs for state residents.
- Industry sectors that are currently concentrated in the state and region. Industries that are already concentrated in the state are located here because they depend on the state's talented workers and close proximity to many large markets. As a result, these sectors, which include financial services, information technology, life sciences, communications, logistics, agribusiness, and gaming/tourism, represent important opportunities for future economic growth.
- Industry sectors that are expected to grow nationally and internationally over the next 10 years. Growing sectors are most likely to provide sustainable job growth for the region.

The economic growth policies and goals for the Meadowlands region must correspond with those outlined in Governor Corzine's report. Specifically, the NJMC should utilize the economic data prepared by Rutgers University as part of an annual economic growth report to further target specific industry sectors that meet the types of businesses stated above.

Second, it is recommended that the NJMC establish a Meadowlands Business Incubator. To target and direct future economic growth, it is essential that the NJMC establish a program to provide services to potential businesses to ease their transition into the region. Therefore, it is recommended that the NJMC establish a Meadowlands Business Incubator, consistent with a mandate established at the 2006 Meadowlands Economic Conference, to further facilitate the location of businesses and future industries into the Meadowlands District that comply with the Governor's strategies outlined in his Economic Growth report.

This Incubator will work to provide new businesses with office space and connectivity to government resources. It will work to secure low interest loans and grants from the state and federal governments to assist businesses interested in locating into the region. The Business Incubator will also be used when targeting specialized industries to locate within the District, like the renewable energy industry, and other industries that comply with the strategies in this report.

Also, the Business Incubator would be charged with increasing communication with small, women-owned, minority-owned and local businesses. The Economic Growth Strategy for the State of New Jersey states that "access to capital has been, and remains, a critical issue for emerging and growing business, particularly those owned by women and minorities." The Governor's report directs the state's departments and authorities to work to provide additional opportunities and opportunities to this business sector. The Business Incubator can work to further this goal by the Governor to effectively promote and support the region's small, minority-owned, and women-owned businesses and entrepreneurs.

The efforts of the Business Incubator will also be focused on attracting businesses that correspond with the long-term economic growth vision for the region. All activities and projects

undertaken by the Business Incubator should be monitored by the NJMC electronic comprehensive monitoring system, outlined in Strategy 1 of this report.

Last, it is recommended that the NJMC implement policies and strategies that support small, women-owned, minority-owned and local businesses. Earlier this year, Governor Jon S. Corzine signed Executive Order (EO) 34 in recognition of the need to leverage the state's purchasing power to help facilitate growth among New Jersey's women-owned and minority-owned businesses. This Executive Order established a Division of Minority and Women Business Development within the Office of Economic Growth to track the state's efforts and progress in pursuing this initiative. In addition to Executive Order 34, the Governor's Economic Growth Report also lists the growth of small, minority-owned, and women-owned businesses as a statewide priority to encourage economic growth.

In conjunction with Governor Corzine's EO 34 and the Governor's Economic Growth Report, it is recommended that the NJMC provide increased incentives to small, minority-owned and women-owned business to enhance growth in this sector of the economy. These incentives could include a higher prioritization for NJMC procurement if a business meets the criteria for small, minority-owned and/or women-owned business. It is recommended that the NJMC charge the NJMC's Department of Finance and Management with spearheading this initiative. These activities should be tracked in the NJMC electronic comprehensive tracking system. The Department of Finance and Management will monitor and report on minority-owned and women-owned business participation in the NJMC procurement process from issuance of public bid documents to contract awards.

In addition, the NJMC should develop a strategy to increase outreach efforts to small, minority-owned, and women-owned businesses to increase awareness and understanding of NJMC and state procurement opportunities. The Business Incubator should be charged with increased outreach efforts with small, minority-owned, and women-owned businesses. All public bid documents of the NJMC will be posted on the agency's website, and where possible the NJMC will provide links to other public bid documents being advertised by other state entities.

### **STRATEGY 5**: Increase Government Efficiency

The NJMC recognizes that New Jersey suffers from high property taxes that put a strain on families and businesses who wish to remain in the state. In order to increase government efficiency, it is urged that the NJMC enact the following recommendations:

First, it is recommended that the NJMC increase the sharing of municipal services in the Meadowlands District. One of the potential solutions to alleviate the property tax strain on citizens is to increase the sharing of services and equipment amongst Meadowlands District municipalities. Currently, the NJMC is undertaking a shared services study, which will include drafting an action plan and allocation of an initial sum of \$1 million in grant monies to promote the sharing of services between Meadowlands municipalities. This refocused regional approach to services is necessary to provide increased services to the businesses and residents of the area while decreasing their property tax burdens. The NJMC should provide increased financial incentives, utilizing the \$1 million allocation, for municipalities that submit joint grant applications. Over the next twelve months, it is advised that the NJMC Executive Director

develop a series of recommendations that will incentivize joint-municipal grant applications for all NJMC grants.

Second, it is recommended that the NJMC examine a further expansion of its Municipal Equipment Pool to provide the Municipalities with further technical assistance at no cost to taxpayers. This pool is maintained and operated by the NJMC staff and provides the Meadowlands region with much needed equipment support, such as stormwater maintenance. At this point, the program has provided Meadowlands municipalities with more than 700 hours of equipment support and nearly 1000 staff hours of support in 2006. In the past, these services would be contracted out at an hourly rate, costing each municipality thousands of dollars per year. An expansion of the equipment pool is recommended to further reduce the financial burden placed on Meadowlands municipalities to provide necessary support to their businesses and residents.

Last, it is recommended the NJMC analyze and implement policies that streamline state and local permitting processes. Strategy 1 listed several recommendations that the NJMC must implement to increase coordination of resources and vision among state and local governments. This concept must also be utilized to streamline local government in the Meadowlands region. Where possible the NJMC should work with other state agencies and local governments to develop policies that streamline the permitting process. It is important to discuss with the New Jersey Department of Environment Protection the feasibility of developing joint permitting and computer permitting processes that will be more efficient. It is recommended that over the next 12 months, the NJMC work to identify overlap in state and local permitting requirements, and pursue the signing of a Memorandum of Understanding with these entities to reduce this redundancy where possible.

### **IMPLEMENTATION**

Finally, it is recommended that the NJMC utilize the next 12 months commencing on November 28, 2006 to establish an Office of Economic Growth to develop and implement the economic growth strategies contemplated in this report. The Office of Economic Growth will be responsible for coordinating the activities of the NJMC with other state and local governments, and will take into consideration input from the region's citizens, community leaders, and businesses. Also, the Office of Economic Growth will oversee the operations and activities of the Meadowlands Workforce Development Consortium and the Meadowlands Business Incubator. The Office will be responsible for responding to questions from business leaders and developing an economic growth report for each upcoming year by December 1<sup>st</sup> of the previous year. The Office will develop a comprehensive vision for the NJMC's economic growth efforts.

All state and local government agencies must work together and collaborate with the private sector to increase economic growth opportunities in the region. The implementation of economic growth strategies identified in this report must incorporate environmental enhancement programs and initiatives, as well as correspond to and compliment the economic growth strategies outlined by the Governor.

The economic growth strategies outlined in this report must also be implemented in a manner that is fiscally sound, guided by the highest ethical standards, and focused on providing efficient

and responsive services for citizens and businesses while protecting and enhancing the environment.

The following measures are critical to the success of economic success for the region:

- This economic growth strategy report should be updated annually to reflect changing economic conditions, to interpret and disseminate new data, and to include feedback from all affected parties.
- The NJMC should identify measurable indicators and targets of success, monitor them consistently and provide yearly assessments of progress made to the public at a yearly Economic Growth Summit.
- The Economic Growth Summit will focus on the coordination of efforts, development of a vision for the region, and communication amongst the variety of NJMC stakeholders.
- The NJMC should continually monitor progress toward achieving the goals to ensure openness and accountability to the region's businesses, residents and other constituents.

# RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO ENTER INTO A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH COOK COLLEGE TO EVALUATE THE STORMWATER AND GROUNDWATER IMPACTS TO THE KEARNY MARSH FROM SURROUNDING PROPERTIES

WHEREAS, the NJMC is committed to the full restoration of the Kearny Marsh, a 322 acre fresh water wetland currently impacted by leachate from landfills and runoff from surrounding properties.

WHEREAS, the restoration of the Kearny Marsh includes the closure and containment of the Keegan Landfill and the installation of water control structures to optimize habitat for waterfowl and associated wildlife.

WHEREAS, the proper closer of the landfill, remediation of the marsh and preventing surrounding properties from contributing pollutants to the marsh is of great environmental and economic benefit to the community; and

WHEREAS, the interdisciplinary scientific team from Rutgers University, Cook College has the scientific understanding and tools to investigate the complex processes impacting the Kearny Marsh from surrounding properties; and

WHEREAS, preliminary information about the magnitude and potential sources of pollution entering the marsh through runoff and underground seepage from surrounding properties has been collected and analyzed; and

WHEREAS, this team requires one more year of monitoring to determine the exact location, nature and extent of the pollutants entering the marsh and to elaborate a detailed hydrological model for its mitigation and control.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Executive Director is hereby authorized to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding with Rutgers University, Cook College to evaluate the stormwater and groundwater impacts to the Kearny Marsh from surrounding properties with funding not to exceed \$100,000.

The foregoing resolution was adopted on Commission vote.

Susan Bass Levin Chairman

I hereby ce	rtify the foregoing to be a true copy of the Resolution adopt	red
by the New Jersey	y Meadowlands Commission at its meeting of January 10, 20	007.

Robert R. Ceberio Secretary

Resolution No. 07-04

### Memorandum

### **New Jersey Meadowlands Commission**

То:	NJMC Commissioners and Robert R. Ceberio, Executive Director			
From:	Francisco Artigas	Date:	January 10, 2007	
Subject: One year Renewal of Kearny Marsh Stormwater and Groundwater				

The NJMC is committed to the full restoration of the 322 acre Kearny Marsh. Pollutants from the adjacent Keegan Landfill have impacted this freshwater wetland for many years. Containing the Keegan Landfill and preventing pollutants from this landfill from reaching the marsh is one of the main components of the Kearny Marsh restoration plan. Optimizing this area for recreation and waterfowl habitat by controlling water levels is also an important component of the restoration. The only unknown factors that may compromise its long term sustainability are pollutants entering the marsh via runoff or groundwater intrusions from surrounding properties other than the Keegan Landfill.

For the last 12 months, sampling and monitoring of surface and groundwater entering the Kearny Marsh have been monitored by a team of scientists from Rutgers University Cook College. They have found organic and heavy metal pollutants that exceed the established criteria in samples from groundwater wells and surfacewater runoff. Due to these findings and additional data which is now required for verification and modeling, we are renewing the study for an additional year. During this final year the study will produce a field calibrated water quantity and quality model for assessing the consequences of the identified sources of pollution on the marsh. Finally, this study will help develop strategies for eliminating these passive sources of pollution entering the marsh which could compromise its post restoration long term sustainability. I therefore recommend a resolution for a second year funding cycle for this study and not to exceed \$100,000.

# RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE SERVICES

WHEREAS, the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission requires landscape maintenance services for Richard W. DeKorte Park, Three Wetland Enhancement Sites and Two Landfill Sites; and

WHEREAS, this work was publicly bid, with six (6) bids being received on December 6, 2006; and

WHEREAS, LTI, Incorporated was the lowest responsive bidder, with a bid in the amount of \$383,500 for the first year; \$383,500 for the second year; and \$394,255 third year; for a total three-year price of \$1,161,255; and

WHEREAS, staff review of the bid indicates that the bid is reasonable and proper, as outlined in the attached memorandum; and

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission that the Executive Director is hereby authorized to enter into a contract with LTI, Incorporated for landscape maintenance at a cost not to exceed \$1,161,255.

The foregoing resolution was adopted by Commission vote.

Susan Bass Levin Chairman

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true copy of the Resolution adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission at its meeting of January 10, 2007.

Robert R. Ceberio Secretary

# **New Jersey Meadowlands Commission**

To:	NJMC Commissioners and Robert R. Ceberio, Execu-	tive Director	<u>.                                    </u>	
From:	Thomas Marturano	Date:	January 10, 2007	
Subject:	Landscape Maintenance: Contract LA - 06-002			

On December 6, 2006, bids were received and opened for the above-referenced contract. The contract will cover landscape maintenance services for DeKorte Park, three wetland sites and two landfill sites and is renewable up to three years.

This resolution authorizes the Executive Director to enter into a contract as described in the bid documents. Under the new contract, the hours at DeKorte Park will be increased to allow for the increased workload required by a mature facility; the Kingsland Overlook is now 16 years old. Some of this work has previously been bid under separate contracts.

The time spent at the wetland enhancement sites will be increased for similar reasons. It should be noted that the costs for the wetland sites are based on estimates of required work hours but will be incurred on an as-needed basis. The landfill costs are based on unit prices for specific tasks and will also be expended as needed.

During the bid period, a total of twelve prospective bidders purchased the contract documents. A mandatory pre-bid conference held November 14, 2006, narrowed the field of eligible bidders to nine. Six bids were received.

The lowest responsive bidder was LTI, Incorporated of Roseland, NJ, with a bid for the three-year period of \$1,161,255. Review of the bid indicates it is complete and in order.

Therefore, we are submitting for your consideration and approval, a resolution authorizing the Executive Director to enter into a contract for landscape maintenance with LTI, Inc. of Roseland, NJ.

# RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT FOR WOOD SALVAGE & DEMOLITION AT THE BARGE CLUB

WHEREAS, the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission (NJMC) purchased the former Barge Club property in Carlstadt in the fall of 2005; and

**WHEREAS**, NJMC resolution 05-91 appropriated funds for the park construction; and

WHEREAS, the NJMC has developed a master plan for the River Barge Park; and

WHEREAS, the first phase of the ultimate development of the River Barge Park involves the wood salvage and demolition of the former restaurant structures; and

WHEREAS, plans and specifications for the wood salvage and demolition were prepared by the NJMC staff and publicly bid, with six (6) bids received on December 13, 2006; and

WHEREAS, Global Development, LLC of Belleville, NJ, was the lowest responsive bidder, with a bid in the amount of \$ 191,952.40; and

WHEREAS, staff review of the bid indicates that the bid is reasonable and proper, as outlined in the attached memorandum; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission that the Executive Director is hereby authorized to enter into a contract with Global Development, LLC for the wood salvage and demolition at the Barge Club at a cost of \$191,952.40 of which \$19,195.24 will be reimbursed by the Meadowlands Conservation Trust for the removal and wood salvage of the river barge.

The foregoing resolution was adopted by Commission vote.

Susan Bass Levin Chairman

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true copy of the Resolution adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission at its meeting of January 10, 2007.

Robert R. Ceberio Secretary

## **New Jersey Meadowlands Commission**

To:	NJMC Commissioners and Robert R. Ceberio, Executive	Director		_
From:	Thomas Marturano	_ Date:	January 10, 2007	_
Subject:	Wood Salvage & Demolition at the Barge Club LA-06-03			_

On December 13, 2006, bids were received and opened for the above-referenced contract. This project begins the first phase of the ultimate development of the River Barge Park in Carlstadt. This contract involves wood salvage and demolition of the existing restaurant including the removal of the floating docks in the marina area, and general site clean-up of the future park site as well as 1 acre of the adjacent Richard P. Kane Tract. Also included (albeit as a separate line item to be paid by the Meadowlands Conservation Trust) is the removal and wood salvage of the river barge on the Trust property.

During the bid period, a total of fifteen prospective bidders purchased the contract documents. Six bids were received, ranging from \$180,000 to \$721,000.

Philip DeLucia/Cliffside Paving of Cliffside Park, NJ, had the lowest price of \$180,000. A review of their bid submission specifically Section 00420, Work Experience Affidavit, revealed a deficiency in relevant work experience. Therefore, the bid submitted by Philip DeLucia/Cliffside Paving was non-responsive and ineligible for the contract award.

The second low bidder was Global Development Contractors, LLC of Belleville NJ with a bid of \$191, 952.40. Review of their bid submission indicates it was complete and in order.

Therefore, we are submitting for your consideration and approval a resolution authorizing the Executive Director to enter into a contract with Global Development, LLC for wood salvage and demolition at the Barge Club at a cost of \$191,952.40

# RESOLUTION ADOPTING COMPREHENSIVE CHANGES TO NJMC REGULATIONS GOVERNING AFFORDABLE HOUSING

**WHEREAS**, the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission (NJMC) is authorized by N.J.S.A. 13:17 *et seq.* to adopt codes and standards regarding land use, comprehensive zoning, and housing with respect to the Meadowlands District; and

WHEREAS, the NJMC authorized staff to prepare new rules to facilitate the capacity of the District's constituent municipalities to meet their affordable housing obligations in accordance with the requirements of the New Jersey Council on Affordable Housing; and

WHEREAS, a Notice of Proposal was filed with the Office of Administrative Law and published in the September 18, 2006 issue of the New Jersey Register; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held at the offices of the Commission on October 17, 2006; and

WHEREAS, based on the record in this matter, the staff recommends that the proposal be adopted without substantive change.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission hereby adopts the affordable housing rulemaking proposal and authorizes the staff to prepare and submit a Notice of Adoption to the Office of Administrative Law for publication in the New Jersey Register. The new regulations will become effective upon publication in the Register.

The foregoing was adopted by Commission vote.

Susan Bass Levin Chairman

I certify the foregoing to be a true copy of the Resolution adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission at its meeting of January 10, 2007.

Robert R. Ceberio Secretary

## **New Jersey Meadowlands Commission**

То:	NJMC Commissioners and Robert Ce	berio, Executive Director
From:	Ileana Kafrouni	Date: January 10, 2007
Subject:	Adoption of Proposed Regulations -	Affordable Housing Initiatives

At its July 10, 2006, meeting, the Commission authorized staff to file a Notice of Proposal and to hold a public hearing regarding proposed comprehensive changes to NJMC regulations governing affordable housing. The changes were prepared to facilitate the capacity of the District's constituent municipalities to meet their affordable housing obligations in accordance with the New Jersey Council on Affordable Housing's (COAH) Procedural and Substantive Rules Governing Affordable Housing. These rules are commonly referred to as the COAH Third Round Rules.

The Notice of Proposal appeared in the September 18, 2006 issue of the New Jersey Register. A public hearing was held at the Commission's offices on October 17, 2006. Staff received 11 sets of written comments and public statements during the public comment period. On November 20, 2006, the matter was forwarded to the Hackensack Meadowlands Municipal Committee for its consideration. The Committee approved the proposed changes at its December 18, 2006 meeting. Based on the record in this matter, the staff recommends that the Commission adopt the proposal without substantive change. A resolution requesting the same is attached for your consideration.

#### RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS TO MAKE FINAL PAYMENT TO MCMANIMON AND SCOTLAND, LLC FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF LEGAL SERVICES

WHEREAS, on May 18, 2004, McManimon & Scotland, LLC was authorized by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission (NJMC) to serve as special counsel on the EnCap Golf Redevelopment Agreement (Agreement); and

WHEREAS, the Office of the Attorney General has authorized the use of McManimon & Scotland, LLC by the NJMC for legal work; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to its authorization, the firm performed legal work for the NJMC relevant to the Agreement; and

**WHEREAS**, final payment is due for services performed by McManimon & Scotland, LLC in the amount of \$32,440.12.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission hereby authorizes the Executive Director to make final payment to McManimon & Scotland, LLC for legal services performed in the amount of \$32,440.12.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the NJMC budget shall be amended accordingly.

The foregoing Resolution was adopted by Commission vote.

Susan Bass Levin Chairman

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true copy of the Resolution adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission at its meeting of January 10, 2007.

Robert R. Ceberio Secretary

# **New Jersey Meadowlands Commission**

То:	NJMC Commissioners and Robert R. Ceberio, Ex	ecutive Director	
From:	Christine A. Sanz	Date:	January 10, 2007
Subject:	McManimon & Scotland Final Payment		

On May 18, 2004, the firm of McManimon & Scotland (Firm) was authorized to perform legal work for the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission (NJMC) relevant to the EnCap Golf Redevelopment Agreement (Agreement) as the NJMC's special counsel. The Firm has served as the NJMC's special counsel and has accordingly concluded their legal services related to the Agreement. There is an outstanding balance owed of \$32,440.12 for work performed by the Firm.

Consequently, staff requests authorization to appropriate \$32,440.12 to make final payment to the Firm for legal services performed.

# RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO ALLOCATE UP TO \$30,000 TO RUTGERS UNIVERSITY FOR AN OYSTER HABITAT DEVELOPMENT FEASIBILITY STUDY IN THE HACKENSACK RIVER

WHEREAS, the presence of oysters in a river system can have tremendous environmental impacts; and

WHEREAS, oysters are capable of filtering as much as 10 quarts of water an hour and therefore reducing water turbidity, which increases light transmission through the water column, creating a habitat supportive of submerged sea grass growth while improving overall water quality; and

WHEREAS, the presence of oysters in a river system creates oyster reefs, which reduce water flow velocities, while providing organic material that serves as a food source for reef inhabitants, a habitat for organisms and transitory fish, and as forage habitat for birds and mammals; and

WHEREAS, in 1997 the NY/NJ Baykeeper and Hackensack Riverkeeper conducted a study in only select locations which determined that oysters were capable of surviving in the Hackensack River; and

WHEREAS, it would be advantageous for the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission work with Rutgers University, the NY/NJ Baykeeper, and Hackensack Riverkeeper to conduct a study to determine the feasibility of hosting an oyster habitat in the Hackensack River.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission authorizes the Executive Director to enter into a contract not to exceed \$30,000 with Rutgers University to conduct an oyster habitat development feasibility study in the Hackensack River; and

**LET IT FURTHER BE RESOLVED**, that Rutgers University work in conjunction with the NY/NJ Baykeeper and Hackensack Riverkeeper for the purposes of this study.

The foregoing was adopted by C	Commission vote.
	Susan Bass Levin
	Chairman
	be a true copy of the Resolution adopted mission at its meeting on January 10, 2007
	Robert R. Ceberio Secretary

## **New Jersey Meadowlands Commission**

To:	NJMC Commissioners			_
From:	Robert R. Ceberio	Date:	January 10, 2007	_
Subject:	Oyster Habitat Development Feasibility Study			

The attached resolution would allocate up to \$30,000 to Rutgers University to conduct an Oyster Habitat Development Feasibility Study in the Hackensack River. It is the opinion of MERI, Rutgers University, the NY/NJ Baykeeper and Hackensack Riverkeeper, that the development of an oyster habitat in the Hackensack River will positively impact the eco-system in the region.

Oyster building of "reefs" reduces water flow velocities, while providing organic material that serves as a food source for reef inhabitants. In addition to providing habitat for benthic organisms and transitory fish, oyster reefs serve as forage habitat for birds and mammals. It is estimated that the presence of oyster reef habitat can increase biomass and productivity of benthic invertebrate fish prey species by 20-fold. This increased prey biomass can support an increase in fish and large crustacean biomass of up to 50 kg per square meter of oyster reef habitat.

In addition, an adult oyster is capable of filtering up to 10 quarts of water per hour though its system. This natural filtration process helps to increase water transparency, which increases light transmission through the water column, creating a habitat supportive of submerged sea grass growth, while improving overall water quality.

A study done by the NY/NJ Baykeeper, with participation by the Hackensack Riverkeeper, in 1997 found that oysters in nets placed in the Hackensack River were capable of surviving. The NJMC 2005 Fish Survey also captured living oysters in the Hackensack River. These results indicate that the potential exists to create viable oyster reef habitat for the Hackensack River estuary. The Oyster Habitat Feasibility Study will build upon the data collected in 1997, and utilize the experience of the NY/NJ Baykeeper and Hackensack Riverkeeper to determine whether the Hackensack River can support an oyster habitat.

# RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE RENEWAL OF THE RUTGERS-NEWARK RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission (NJMC) through the Meadowlands Environmental Research Institute (MERI), monitors environmental conditions, conducts research, as well as develops and applies technology to address the environmental problems of the Hackensack Meadowlands District; and

WHEREAS, the NJMC continuously collects data and commissions studies that require expert scientific knowledge by highly qualified scientist to address the complex environmental problems of the District; and

WHEREAS, Rutgers University-Newark, through its faculty and staff and its links to other Universities and research centers, has the scientific expertise to provide support in the area of science and technology; and

WHEREAS, the NJMC through this program benefits from the expertise of students and faculty that collaborate and follow through our long term research initiatives in a cost effective way which is not available through traditional consulting firms; and

WHEREAS, local scientists and students benefit by having access to challenging scientific problems in the District and carry out studies to find solutions to our District environmental problems while providing hands-on training opportunities for the next generation of scientists; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the NJMC approves the renewal of the Rutgers-Newark Research Fellowship Program for a period of another year and funding not to exceed \$185,000.

The foregoing resolution was adopted on Commission vote.

Susan Bass Levin Chairman

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true copy of the Resolution adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission at its meeting of January 10, 2007.

Robert R. Ceberio Secretary

## **New Jersey Meadowlands Commission**

То:	NJMC Commissioners and Robert R. C	Ceberio, Executive Director
From:	Francisco Artigas	<i>Dat</i> January 10, 2007
Subject:	Resolution Supporting the Renewal of	the Rutgers-Newark Fellowship Program

In March 2005 the Commission passed a resolution to fund the Rutgers-Newark Research Fellowship program. Through this program, the Commission supports a variety of research initiatives of interest to the NJMC that would otherwise be impossible to accomplish in the absence of highly qualified Rutgers faculty members and associated graduate students.

It is through this program that the Commission is able to access affordable and highly qualified Geographical Information System (GIS) specialists, which contribute extensively in transferring GIS technology and information to District Municipalities under our current MAP program.

It is through this program that MERI has access to highly qualified and affordable expertise in the form of professors and graduate students that help with complex environmental sample processing in the MERI laboratory, data analysis and image processing, and support our staff in studies related to contaminants from air, water and sediment from the District.

This program provides great local scientific talent otherwise inaccessible to the Commission and the favorable results obtained by this program in the past year greatly justifies renewing our commitment for another year.

I hereby recommend that the Commission renews our fellowship program with Rutgers University-Newark for another year starting February 1, 2007 and for an amount not to exceed \$185,000.

# RESOLUTION CERTIFYING THE INTERMUNICIPAL TAX SHARING POOL FOR CY2007

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 72, P.L. 1968, Chapter 404, the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission is required on or before February 1, 2007, to certify to the financial officer of each constituent Hackensack Meadowlands municipality an amount known as the Meadowlands Adjustment Payment; and

WHEREAS, the Meadowlands Adjustment Payments for the adjustment year 2007 have been computed and are shown on the schedule attached hereto; and

WHEREAS, the NJMC's Operating Fund Budget is amended by \$272,023 to fund costs associated with the Tax Sharing Stabilization Fund.

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission that the Meadowlands Adjustment Payments, as shown on the attached schedule, are hereby certified to the financial officers of each constituent municipality.

The foregoing resolution was adopted on Commission vote.

Susan Bass Levin Chairman

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true copy of the Resolution adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission at its meeting of January 10, 2007.

Robert R. Ceberio Secretary

## **New Jersey Meadowlands Commission**

То:	NJMC Commissioners and Robert R. Ceberio, E	xecutive Director		_
From:	Irfan A. Bora and Edward H. Bulmer	Date:	January 10, 2007	
Subject:	Certification of CY2007 Intermunicipal Tax Shar	ring Account		

As per Article 9 of the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission's enabling legislation, attached are exhibits and schedules showing the computations of the NJMC Intermunicipal Tax Sharing Formula for the calendar year 2007. The Adjustment Payment Schedule for CY2007 reflects the three-year trailing averaging as required by the 1999 amendments to the formula.

As in the past, the municipalities of Secaucus and Kearny continue to be the largest payer and recipient from the pool respectively. Also included as part of the formula are stabilization fund calculations. As per NJSA 13:17-74.1, payments made to the qualified communities are to be funded from the interest on closure and post closure funds maintained by the NJMC. Due to the depletion of the closure and post-closure accounts, the NJMC will instead fund this amount from its' own operating budget.

The adoption and certification by the NJMC of the CY2007 computations will allow the inclusion of these figures in the respective municipal budgets. Each municipality is able to utilize the information from Exhibit A in order to budget revenues and expenses, or both, if a recipient of NJMC stabilization fund payments. The payment of stabilization funds is currently scheduled for July 15. A copy of this certification will also be forwarded to the Director of the Division of Local Government Services in the New Jersey State Department of Community Affairs.

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact us.

attachments

# NEW JERSEY MEADOWLANDS COMMISSION INTERMUNICIPAL ACCOUNT 2007 ADJUSTMENT PAYMENT SCHEDULE

		NIMC				SCHE	ATT E		
	ADJUSTMENT	STABILIZATION	STABILIZED		PAYABLE	эсперопе	OLE	RECEIVABLE	
MUNICIPALITY	PAYMENT REC (PAY)	FUND PAYMENT	ADJ. PAYMENT REC (PAY)	DUE 5/15/2007	BUE 8/15/2007	DUE 11/15/2007	DUE 5/15/2007	DUE 8/15/2007	DUE 11/15/2007
CARLSTADT	(\$1,105,526)	\$24,881	(\$1,080,645)	(\$368.508)	(\$368.509)	(\$368.509)	S	S.	
EAST BITTIEBEOD	278 LE 13	\$117 706	\$755 AA3	•	5	,			,
			de de de	6	6	60	0.10,010	J+J,3+7	\$#5,5# <b>3</b>
LITTLE FERRY	(\$394,401)	\$30,242	(\$364,159)	(\$131,467)	(\$131,467)	(\$131,467)	\$0	\$0	
LYNDHURST	(\$385,363)	\$23,606	(\$361,757)	(\$128,455)	(\$128,454)	(\$128,454)	\$0	\$0	
MOONACHIE	(\$259,294)	\$48,941	(\$210,353)	(\$86,432)	(\$86,431)	(\$86,431)	\$0	\$0	
NORTH ARLINGTON	\$753,967	\$0	\$753,967	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$251,323	\$251,322	\$251,322
RIDGEFIELD	\$1,135,214	\$0	\$1,135,214	SO	\$0	\$0	\$378,404	\$378,405	\$378,405
RUTHERFORD	(\$148,508)	0\$	(\$148,508)	(\$49,502)	(\$49,503)	(\$49,503)	\$0	\$0	
SOUTH HACKENSACK	(\$196,002)	\$26,282	(\$169,720)	(\$65,334)	(\$65,334)	(\$65,334)	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	
TETERBORO	\$0	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0 ·	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
JERSEY CITY	\$920,371	\$0	\$920,371	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$306,791	\$306,790	\$306,790
KEARNY	\$4,068,747	\$0	\$4,068,747	\$0	\$0.	\$0	\$1,356,249	\$1,356,249	\$1,356,249
NORTH BERGEN	(\$1,108,806)	\$0	(\$1,108,806)	(\$369,602)	(\$369,602)	(\$369,602)	50	\$0	
SECAUCUS	(\$3,418,246)	\$275	(\$3,417,971)	(\$1,139,416)	(\$1,139,415)	(\$1,139,415)	\$0	\$0	
TOTAL		\$272,023		(\$2,338,716)	(\$2,338,715)	(\$2,338,715)	\$2,338,716	\$2,338,715	\$2,338,715
TOTAL RECEIVABLE		(5) \$7,016,146							

TOTAL NIMC STABILIZATION FUND PAYMENT

IMACCT

TOTAL PAYABLE

8

(\$7,016,146) \$272,023

NJMC TAX SHARING FORMULA ADJUSTMENT PAYMENT SCHEDULE AND STABILIZATION FUND

BERGEN COUNTY HUDSON COUNTY	SECAUCUS	NORTH BERGEN	KEARNY	JERSEY CITY	TETERBORO	SOUTH HACKENSACK	RUTHERFORD	RIDGEFIELD	NORTH ARLINGTON	MOONACHIE	LYNDHURST	LITTLE FERRY	EAST RUTHERFORD	CARLSTADT		Final 12/27/06
(\$388,430) \$388,430 \$0	(\$3,368,908)	(\$1,047,037)	\$3,983,091	\$821,284	\$0	(\$157,823)	(\$191,377)	\$1,082,268	\$635,567	(\$241,743)	(\$354,058)	(\$352,423)	\$244,135	(\$1,052,976)	2005 PRE-ADJUSTMENT PAYMENT	
(\$306,522) \$306,521 (\$1)	(\$3,470,755)	(\$1,087,949)	\$3,957,962	\$907,263	\$0	(\$192,495)	(\$184,728)	\$1,132,006	\$777,892	(\$234,730)	(\$417,300)	(\$394,397)	\$116,841	(\$909,611)	2006 PRE-ADJUSTMENT PAYMENT	
(\$691,244) \$691,245 \$1	(\$3,415,076)	(\$1,191,432)	\$4,265,187	\$1,032,566	\$0	(\$237,688)	(\$69,419)	\$1,191,368	\$848,441	(\$301,407)	(\$384,730)	(\$436,382)	\$52,565	(\$1,353,992)	2007 PRE-ADJUSTMENT PAYMENT	
(\$462,065) \$462,065 (\$0)	(\$3,418,246)	(\$1,108,806)	\$4,068,747	\$920,371	\$0	(\$196,002)	(\$148,508)	\$1,135,214	\$753,967	(\$259,293)	(\$385,363)	(\$394,401)	\$137,847	(\$1,105,526)	ADJUSTMENT PAYMENT THREE - YEAR AVERAGE (2007)	
(\$259,691) \$259,690 (\$1)	(\$3,255,210)	(\$1,229,644)	\$3,914,683	\$829,861	\$0	(\$161,638)	(\$169,903)	\$1,051,923	\$671,696	(\$200,336)	(\$344,530)	(\$346,817)	\$269,099	(\$1,029,185)	ADJUSTMENT PAYMENT (2006)	
	(\$163,036)	\$120,838	\$154,064	\$90,510	\$0	(\$34,364)	\$21,395	\$83,291	\$82,271	(\$58,957)	(\$40,833)	(\$47,584)	(\$131,252)	(\$76,341)	CALCULATED DIFFERENCE (ADJ 3YR - ADJ 2YR)	•
•	(\$162,761)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$8,082)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$10,017)	(\$17,227)	(\$17,341)	(\$13,455)	(\$51,459)	TAX SHARING STABILIZATION  CALCULATION  MAXIMUM STABILIZI  ALLOWABLE ADJUSTME  DIFF. @ 5% PAYMEN	
	(\$3,417,971)	\$0	\$0	\$	<del>,</del> 30	(\$169,720)	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	(\$210,353)	(\$361,757)	(\$364,158)	\$255,644	(\$1,080,644)	BILIZATION TON STABILIZED ABJUSTMENT PAYMENT	
\$271,748 \$275 \$272,023	\$275	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$26,2 <b>48</b>	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$0	\$48,941	\$23,606	\$30,242	\$117,796	\$24,881	STABILIZATION FUND	

TS2007 12/27/06	2007 TAXSIIARING DISTRIBUTION
FINAL	
	2004 COMPARISON YEAR

ЕХНІВІТ В

5 5 5	2004 COMPARISON YEAR	R		1970 BASE YEAR	R			
	2004	2004	2004	1970	1970	1970	EQUALIZATION	
	AGGREGATE	EQUALIZATION	AGGREGATE TRUE	AGGREGATE	EQUALIZATION	AGGREGATE	INCREASE/DECREASE	2004
	ASSESSED	RATIO NISAS4:1-35-1	VALUATION	ASSESSED	RAT10 NISA 54:1-35:1	TRUE	OF TRUE VALUE IN	MUNICIPAL TAY RATE
	YALOMION	***************************************	(cor neorz)	ADECOTION	14000001.1.00.1	(Col. 4/Col.5)	(Col. 3 - 6)	(ADJUSTED)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
CARLSTADT	\$698,801,348	58.53 %	\$1,193,919,952	\$72,295,483	72.05 %	\$100,340,712	\$1,093,579,240	\$2.442
EAST RUTHERFORD	\$433,650,045	65.53	\$661,758,042	\$41,975,219	89.51	\$46,894,446	\$614,863,596	\$2,434
LITTLE FERRY	\$99,613,900	58.69	\$169,728,915	\$14,203,275	98.28	\$14,451,847	\$155,277,068	\$3,630
LYNDHURST	\$360,551,300	62.69	\$575,133,674	\$12,098,803	69.11	\$17,506,588	\$557,627,086	\$3.032
MOONACHE	\$230,075,429	61.73	\$372,712,504	\$49,175,466	106.62	\$46,122,178	\$326,590,326	\$2,497
NORTH ARLINGTON	\$2,826,400	61.00	\$4,633,443	\$330,900	68.96	\$479,843	\$4,153,600	\$3.562
RIDGEFIELD	\$87,235,700	51.90	\$168,084,200	\$20,349,950	90.05	\$22,598,501	\$145,485,699	\$2.286
RUTHERFORD	\$93,559,300	58.25	\$160,616,824	\$15,347,700	102.94	\$14,909,365	\$145,707,459	\$3.502
SOUTH HACKENSACK	\$51,469,100	84.48	\$60,924,598	\$6,072,150	76.34	\$7,954,087	\$52,970,511	\$2.454
TETERBORO	0.8	0.00	. \$0	\$18,602,200	108.48	\$17,148,046	\$0	\$0.000
JERSEY CITY	\$63,532,900	44.01	\$144,360,145	\$15,980,900	90.1	\$17,736,848	\$126,623,297	\$4.620
KEARNY	\$90,364,558	38.41	\$235,263,103	\$31,008,267	82.27	\$37,690,856	\$197,572,247	\$7.389
NORTH BERGEN	\$311,547,400	60.42	\$515,636,213	\$26,623,623	78.46	\$33,932,734	\$481,703,479	\$3.892
SECAUCUS	\$2,119,738,115	68.51	\$3,094,056,510	\$95,145,123	72.35	\$131,506,735	\$2,962,549,775	\$2.628
BERGEN COUNTY	\$2,057,782,522	NA	3,367,512,152	\$250,451,146	NA	\$288,405,613	\$3,096,254,585	NA
HUDSON COUNTY	\$2,585,182,973	NA	3,989,315,971	\$168,757,913	NA	\$220,867,173	\$3,768,448,798	NA A
ALL MUNICIPALITIES	\$4,642,965,495	NA	7,356,828,123	\$419,209,059	NA	\$509,272,786	\$6,864,703,383	NA

2004		
2004		
2004		
2004		
2004		
PERCENT OF		
2004		

BERGEN COUNTY HUDSON COUNTY ALL MUNICIPALITIES	CARLSTADT EAST RUTHERFORD LITTLE FERRY LYNDHURST MOONACHIE NORTH ARLINGTON RUDGEFIELD RUTHERFORD SOUTH HACKENSACK TETERBORO JERSEY CITY KEARNY NORTH BERGEN SECAUCUS
NA NA	2004 EFFECTIVE TAX RATE (Col. 8 * Col. 2) (9) \$1.429 \$1.595 \$2.130 \$1.901 \$1.541 \$2.173 \$1.186 \$2.040 \$2.073 \$0.000 \$2.033 \$2.838 \$2.352 \$1.800
427 427	2004 INCREASE OF H.M. PUPILS OVER BASE YEAR 1970 (10)  (10)  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
NA NA A	2004 COST PER PUPIL IN COMPARISON YEAR (11)  \$2,492
NA NA	2004 COUNTY N PORTION OF TAX RATE  12.405 % 12.192 8.531 9.519 11.396 7.919 15.410 8.768 9.791 0.000 25.901 18.922 21.740 28.282
NA NA NA	2004 MUNICIPAL/SCHOOL VET/S.C. PORTION OF TAX RATE  (13)  87.595 % 87.808 91.469 90.481 88.604 92.081 88.604 92.081 88.690 91.232 90.209 0.000 74.099 81.078 78.260 71.718
NA NA	2004 APPORTIONMENT RATE (COL. 9 * COL. 13)  (14)  1.2517326% 1.4005376% 1.7200438% 1.7200438% 1.3653876% 2.0009201% 1.0032374% 1.801328% 1.8064327% 2.3009936% 1.806752% 1.2909240%
50.421 49.579 100.000	PERCENT OF H.M.D. LAND AREA FOR EACH MUNICIPALITY  (15)  12.155 % 10.268 2.271 10.130 4.356 2.588 5.210 2.980 0.465 - 5.050 17.854 6.915
\$50,261,200 \$72,836,914 \$123,098,114	2004 YEAR INCREASE IN TAXES OVER 1970 ( BASE YEAR (Col. 7 * Col. 9) (16)  6 \$15,627,247 \$9,807,074 \$3,307,402 \$10,600,491 \$5,032,757 \$90,258 \$1,725,460 \$2,972,432 \$1,098,079 \$0 \$2,574,252 \$5,607,100 \$11,329,666 \$53,325,896

TS2007.xls

Ç.
∿
×
9
×
춠
٠,

BERGEN COUNTY HUDSON COUNTY ALL MUNICIPALITIES	CARLSTADT EAST RUTHERFORD LITTLE FERRY LYNDHURST MOONACHIE NORTH ARLINGTON RIDGEFIELD RUTHERFORD SOUTH HACKENSACK TETERBORO JERSEY CITY KEARNY NORTH BERGEN SECAUCUS		
\$5,640,163 \$19,272,431 \$24,912,594	\$1,938,560 \$1,195,678 \$282,154 \$1,009,061 \$573,533 \$7,148 \$265,893 \$266,623 \$107,513 \$0 \$666,757 \$1,060,975 \$2,463,069 \$15,081,630	(17)	LESS PORTION OF COL. 12 COUNTY TAX PERCENT (Col. 16 * Col. 12)
\$44,621,036 \$53,564,482 \$98,185,518	\$13,688,687 \$8,611,396 \$3,025,247 \$9,591,430 \$4,459,224 \$83,110 \$1,459,567 \$2,711,809 \$990,566 \$990,566 \$1,907,495 \$4,546,125 \$8,866,596 \$38,244,266	(18)	(SECTION 13:17 - 67) 2004 TAXES COLLECTED LESS COUNTY TAXES POST 1970 RATABLES (Cal. 14 * Cal. 7)
26,772,621 32,138,690 58,911,311	\$8,213,212 \$5,166,838 \$1,815,148 \$5,754,858 \$2,675,534 \$49,866 \$875,740 \$1,627,085 \$594,340 \$1,627,675 \$52,727,675 \$2,727,675 \$53,319,958 \$22,946,560	(19)	DIRECT RETENTION (60% OF COL 18)
\$17,848,415 \$21,425,792 \$39,274,207	\$5,475,475 \$3,444,558 \$1,210,099 \$3,836,572 \$1,783,690 \$33,244 \$583,827 \$1,084,724 \$396,226 \$0 \$762,998 \$1,818,450 \$3,546,638 \$15,297,706	(20)	TOTAL SUBJECT TO TAX SHARING (COL. 18 - COL. 19)
\$0 \$0	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	(21)	GUARANTEE PAYMENTS
\$0 \$5,245,685 \$5,245,685	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$74,760 \$0 \$0 \$5,170.925	(22)	SCHOOL SERVICE PAYMENTS (Col. 10 * Col. 11)

(\$4,502)	\$4,955	55 (1 4	\$690,792	\$22,116,583 \$39,274,206	\$16,870,898 \$34,028,521	ALL MUNICIPALITIES
(\$4,954) \$4,502	(\$4		(\$690,792)	\$17,157,623	\$17,157,623	BERGEN COUNTY
\$1,781 (\$13,841)	\$1		(\$3,403,016)	\$11,894,689	\$6,723,764	SECAUCUS
	IS		(\$1,193,430)	\$2,353,208	\$2,353,208	NORTH BERGEN
	\$1		\$4,257,002	\$6,075,452	\$6,075,452	KEARNY
\$456 \$1,874			\$1,030,236	\$1,793,234	\$1,718,474	JERSEY CITY
			\$0	\$0	\$0	TETERBORO
			(\$237,925)	\$158,301	\$158,301	SOUTH HACKENSACK
	£.•		(\$70,776)	\$1,013,948	\$1,013,948	RUTHERFORD
\$469 \$1,874			\$1,189,025	\$1,772,852	\$1,772,852	RIDGEFIELD
			\$847,414	\$880,658	\$880,658	NORTH ARLINGTON
			(\$301,544)	\$1,482,146	\$1,482,146	MOONACHIE
			(\$389,449)	\$3,447,123	\$3,447,123	LYNDHURST
			(\$437,447)	\$772,652	\$772,652	LITTLE FERRY
			\$49,320	\$3,493,878	\$3,493,878	EAST RUTHERFORD
	(\$8		(\$1,339,410)	\$4,136,065	\$4,136,065	CARLSTADT
(26) (27)	(26)		(25)	(24)	(23)	
RECALCULATION RECALCULATION	RECALCULA					
FOR 2006 FOR 2005	FOR 200		(Col. 24 - 20)	21+22+23)		
T ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT		7	2007 PRE-ADJUSTMENT PAYMENT	TOTAL CREDIT DUE MUNICIPALITY (TOTAL OF COLUMNS	APPORTIONMENT PAYMENTS (%IN COL. 15 * [COL. 20 -(COLS. 21+22)]	

^	
⇉	
-7	
-	
7	
71	

BERGEN COUNTY \$2,065,393,437 NA HUDSON COUNTY \$2,269,337,242 NA	2003 COMPARISON YEAR	
3,074,341,119 3,390,939,384 6,465,280,503	2003 AGGREGATE TRUE VALUATION (Col. 1/Col.2) (3) \$1,078,082,464 \$625,909,168 \$154,336,793 \$515,953,642 \$322,889,167 \$5,111,252 \$154,253,052 \$154,253,052 \$160,261,740 \$57,543,841 \$0 \$125,947,798 \$211,000,918 \$462,267,007 \$2,591,723,661	
\$250,451,146 \$168,757,913 \$419,209,059	1970 BASE YEAR  1970 AGGREGATE ASSESSED VALUATION  (4)  \$72,295,483 \$41,975,219 \$14,203,275 \$12,098,803 \$49,175,466 \$330,900 \$20,349,950 \$15,347,700 \$56,072,150 \$18,602,200 \$115,980,900 \$31,008,267 \$26,623,623 \$95,145,123	
NA NA	R 1970 EQUALIZATION RATIO NISA54:1.35.1 (5) 72.05 % 89.51 98.28 69.11 106.62 68.96 90.05 102.94 76.34 108.48 90.1 82.27 78.46 72.35	
\$288,405,613 \$220,867,173 \$509,272,786	1970 AGGREGATE TRUE VALUATION (Col. 4/Col.5) (6) \$100,340,712 \$46,894,446 \$14,451,847 \$17,506,588 \$46,122,178 \$479,843 \$22,598,501 \$14,909,365 \$7,954,087 \$17,148,946 \$17,736,848 \$37,690,856 \$33,932,734 \$131,506,735	
\$2,803,083,552 \$3,170,072,211 \$5,973,155,763	EQUALIZATION INCREASE/DECREASE OF TRUE VALUE IN COMPARISON YEAR (Col. 3 - 6) (7)  \$977,741,752 \$977,741,752 \$579,014,722 \$139,884,946 \$498,447,054 \$276,766,989 \$4,631,409 \$131,654,551 \$145,352,375 \$49,589,754 \$9 \$108,210,950 \$173,310,062 \$428,334,273 \$2,460,216,926	
NA NA	2003 MUNICIPAL TAX RATE (ADJUSTED) (8) \$2.242 \$2.296 \$3.414 \$2.973 \$2.413 \$2.413 \$2.413 \$2.413 \$2.247 \$2.006 \$3.385 \$2.287 \$0.000 \$4.619 \$6.955 \$3.786	
NA NA A	2003 EFFECTIVE TAX RATE (Col. 8 * Col. 2) (9) \$1.467 \$1.562 \$2.204 \$2.075 \$1.715 \$1.715 \$2.447 \$1.150 \$2.447 \$1.150 \$2.447 \$1.150 \$2.447 \$1.150 \$2.447 \$2.063 \$3.030 \$2.671 \$2.063	

BERGEN COUNTY HUDSON COUNTY ALL MUNICIPALITIES	CARLSTADT EAST RUTHERFORD LITTLE FERRY LYNDHURST MOONACHIE NORTH ARLINGTON RIDGEFIELD RUTHERFORD SOUTH HACKENSACK TETERBORO JERSEY CITY KEARNY NORTH BERGEN SECAUCUS	
407 407	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2003 INCREASE OF H.M. PUPILS OVER BASE YEAR 1970 (10)
NA NA	\$2,423 \$12,275	2003 COST PER PUPIL IN COMPARISON YEAR (11)
NA NA	13.779 % 11.245 7.977 8.981 12.672 7.636 15.171 8.290 9.578 0.000 24.096 20.528 22.721 29.539	2003 COUNTY PORTION OF TAX RATE
NA NA	86.221 % 88.755 92.023 91.019 87.328 92.364 84.829 91.710 90.422 0.000 75.904 79.472 77.279 70.461	2003 MUNICIPAL/SCHOOL VET./S.C. PORTION OF TAX RATE (13)
NA NA NA	1.2648621% 1.3863531% 2.0281869% 1.8886443% 1.4976752% 2.7561471% 0.755335% 2.0167029% 1.7596121% 0.0000000% 1.7708403% 2.4080016% 2.0641221% 1.4536104%	2003 APPORTIONMENT RATE (COL 9 * COL 13)
50.421 49.579 100.000	12.155 % 10.268 2.271 10.130 4.356 2.588 5.210 2.980 0.465 - 5.050 17.854 6.915	PERCENT OF H.M.D. LAND AREA FOR EACH MUNICIPALITY (15)
\$47,348,750 \$69,970,939 \$117,319,689	\$14,343,472 \$9,044,210 \$3,083,064 \$10,342,776 \$4,746,554 \$113,331 \$1,514,027 \$3,196,299 \$965,017 \$0 \$2,524,561 \$5,251,295 \$11,440,808 \$50,754,275	YEAR INCREASE IN TAXES OVER 1970 BASE YEAR (Col. 7 * Col. 9) (16)
\$5,365,461 \$19,278,075 \$24,643,536	\$1,976,387 \$1,017,021 \$245,936 \$928,885 \$601,483 \$8,654 \$229,693 \$244,973 \$92,429 \$0 \$608,318 \$1,077,986 \$2,599,466 \$14,992,305	LESS PORTION OF 20 COL. 12 COUNTY TAX PERCENT 1 (Col. 16 * Col. 12) (17)

TS2006.xls

\$37,070,461	\$32,409,504	\$4,660,957	\$0	\$37,070,462	55,605,691	\$92,676,153	ALL MUNICIPALITIES
\$20,729,168	\$16,068,211	\$4,660,957	80	\$20,277,146	30,415,718	\$50,692,864	HUDSON COUNTY
\$16,341,293	\$16,341,293	\$0	\$0	\$16,793,316	25,189,973	\$41,983,289	BERGEN COUNTY
\$10,982,434	\$6,403,859	\$4,578,575	\$0	\$14,304,788	\$21,457,182	\$35,761,970	SECAUCUS
\$2,241,247	\$2,241,247	\$0	\$0	\$3,536,537	\$5,304,805	\$8,841,342	NORTH BERGEN
\$5,786,393	\$5,786,393	\$0	\$0	\$1,669,324	\$2,503,985	<b>\$</b> 4,173,309	KEARNY
\$1,719,094	\$1,636,712	\$82,382	\$0	\$766,497	\$1,149,746	\$1,916,243	JERSEY CITY
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	TETERBORO
\$150,769	\$150,769	\$0	\$0	\$349,035	\$523,552	\$872,587	SOUTH HACKENSACK
\$965,706	\$965,706	\$0	\$0	\$1,172,530	\$1,758,796	\$2,931,326	RUTHERFORD
\$1,688,503	\$1,688,503	\$0	\$0	\$513,734	\$770,600	\$1,284,334	RIDGEFIELD
\$838,758	\$838,758	\$0	\$0	\$41,871	\$62,806	\$104,677	NORTH ARLINGTON
\$1,411,628	\$1,411,628	\$0	\$0	\$1,658,028	\$2,487,043	\$4,145,071	MOONACHIE
\$3,283,115	\$3,283,115	\$0	\$0	\$3,765,557	\$5,648,335	\$9,413,892	LYNDHURST
\$735,890	\$735,890	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$1,134,851	\$1,702,277	\$2,837,128	LITTLE FERRY
\$3,327,646	\$3,327,646	\$0	\$0	\$3,210,876	\$4,816,313	\$8,027,189	EAST RUTHERFORD
\$3,939,278	\$3,939,278	\$0	\$0	\$4,946,834	\$7,420,251	\$12,367,085	CARLSTADT
(24)	(23)	(22)	(21)	(20)	(19)	(18)	
TOTAL CREDIT DUE MUNICIPALITY (TOTAL OF COLUMNS 21+22+23)	APPORTIONMENT PAYMENTS TOTAL CREDIT DUE  (%IN COL. 15 * MUNICIPALITY  [COL. 20 -{COLS. 21+22)} (TOTAL OF COLUMNS 21+22+23)	SCHOOL SERVICE PAYMENTS (Col. 10 * Col. 11)	GUARANTEE PAYMENTS	TOTAL SUBJECT TO TAX SHARING (COL. 18 - COL. 19)	DIRECT RETENTION (60% OF COL 18)	(SECTION 13:17 - 67) )03 TAXES COLLECTED LESS COUNTY TAXES POST 1970 RATABLES (Col. 14 * Col. 7)	

TS2006.xls

=
3
—

\$1,122,006 \$1,122,006 \$1,42,728 \$269 \$1,269 \$0 \$0,269 \$0,263 \$0,272,63 \$0,272,63 \$1,609 \$1,087,949 \$1,109 \$1,781 \$1,781 \$1,306,522 \$1,954 \$2,955 \$1,955 \$1,955 \$1,955 \$1,954 \$1,955	(\$301,568) \$301,566 (\$2)	(\$123,028) \$0	\$0	3452,021	ALL MUNICIPALITIES
	(\$301,568) \$301,566	(\$123,028)	(\$21,421)	\$452,021	HODBON COON I
	(\$301,568)		300	200	TITLE ON COLDITA
		\$123,028	\$27,427	(\$452,023)	BERGEN COUNTY
	(\$3,472,536)	(\$143,448)	(\$6,733)	(\$3,322,355)	SECAUCUS
	(\$1,089,058)	\$194,443	\$11,789	(\$1,295,290)	NORTH BERGEN
	\$3,956,353	(\$135,436)	(\$25,280)	\$4,117,069	KEARNY
	\$906,807	(\$38,587)	(\$7,203)	\$952,597	JERSEY CITY
		\$0	\$0	\$0	TETERBORO
	(\$192,537)	\$6,478	(\$749)	(\$198,266)	SOUTH HACKENSACK
	(\$184,997)	\$18,662	\$3,165	(\$206,824)	RUTHERFORD
	\$1,131,537	(\$36,029)	(\$7,203)	\$1,174,769	RIDGEFIELD
	\$777,659	(\$16,177)	(\$3,051)	\$796,887	NORTH ARLINGTON
	(\$235,123)	\$17,487	(\$6,210)	(\$246,400)	MOONACHIE
	(\$418,213)	\$78,860	(\$14,631)	(\$482,442)	LYNDHURST
,	(\$394,602)	\$7,664	(\$3,305)	(\$398,961)	LITTLE FERRY
	\$115,916	\$5,483	(\$6,337)	\$116,770	EAST RUTHERFORD
	(\$901,208)	\$40,600	\$65,748	(\$1,007,556)	CARLSTADT
(29) (30)	(28)	(27)	(26)	(25)	
ALCULATED DIFFERENCE	RECALCULATION ADJUSTMENT PAYMENT PREV. CALCULATED DIFFERENCE	RECALCULATION A	RECALCULATION		
ADJ. PAYMENT	2006 ADJ. P	FOR 2004	FOR 2005	(Col. 24 - 20)	
2006	TOTAL 2	ADJUSTMENT	ADJUSTMENT	PAYMENT	
				PRE-ADJUSTMENT	
				2006	

TS2006.xls

Page 4

I'S2005
1/10/05
2007 RECALCULATION
REC.

	NA	NA	\$5,372,764,688	\$31,272,60€	NA	\$419,207,039	3,404,669,426	NA	34,371,373,734	ALL MOINCHALLIES
<b>_</b>	NN	AN	\$2,862,751,572	\$220,867,173	NA	\$168,757,913	3,083,618,745	NA	\$2,553,970,095	HUDSON COUNTY
,	NA	NA	\$2,510,013,116	\$288,405,613	ŅĄ	\$250,451,146	2,781,270,683	NA	\$2,037,405,639	BERGEN COUNTY
	\$2.2079	\$2.515	\$2,220,470,630	\$131,506,735	72.35	\$95,145,123	\$2,351,977,365	87.79	\$2,064,800,929	SECAUCUS
	\$2.8045	\$3.630	\$398,148,421	\$33,932,734	78.46	\$26,623,623	\$432,081,155	77.26	\$333,825,900	NORTH BERGEN
	\$3,0884	\$6.535	\$156,505,151	\$37,690,856	82.27	\$31,008,267	\$194,196,007	47.26	\$91,777,033	KEARNY
	\$2.7474	\$4.554	\$87,627,370	\$17,736,848	90.1	\$15,980,900	\$105,364,218	60.33	\$63,566,233	JERSEY CITY
	\$0.0000	\$0.000	0.8	\$17,148,046	108.48	\$18,602,200	\$0	0.00	\$0	TETERBORO
	\$2.0800	\$2.701	\$43,672,974	\$7,954,087	76.34	\$6,072,150	\$51,627,061	77.01	\$39,758,000	SOUTH HACKENSACK
	\$2.3961	\$3.218	\$125,041,481	\$14,909,365	102.94	\$15,347,700	\$139,950,846	74.46	\$104,207,400	RUTHERFORD
	\$1.3797	\$1.997	\$104,151,969	\$22,598,501	90.05	\$20,349,950	\$126,750,470	69.09	\$87,571,900	RIDGEFIELD
	\$2.5600	\$3.206	\$3,059,794	\$479,843	68.96	\$330,900	\$3,539,637	79.85	\$2,826,400	NORTH ARLINGTON
	\$1.6338	\$2.362	\$286,443,479	\$46,122,178	106.62	\$49,175,466	\$332,565,657	69.17	\$230,035,665	MOONACHIE
	\$2.2020	\$2.808	\$443,215,677	\$17,506,588	69.11	\$12,098,803	<b>\$</b> 460,722,265	78.42	\$361,298,400	LYNDHURST
	\$2.5174	\$3.289	\$115,694,351	\$14,451,847	98.28	\$14,203,275	\$130,146,198	76.54	\$99,613,900	LITTLE FERRY
	\$1.8271	\$2.249	\$459,279,907	\$46,894,446	89.51	\$41,975,219	\$506,174,353	81.24	\$411,216,044	EAST RUTHERFORD
	\$1.5021	\$2.207	\$929,453,484	\$100,340,712	72.05 %	\$72,295,483	\$1,029,794,196	68.06 %	\$700,877,930	CARLSTADT
	(9)	(8)	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(٤)	(2)	(1)	
	(Col. 8 * Col. 2)	(ADJUSTED)	(Col. 3 - 6)	(Col. 4/Col.5)			ŗ	<b>}</b> ≠		
	TAX RATE	TAX RATE	COMPARISON YEAR	VALUATION	NJSA54:1.35.1	VALUATION	(Col. 1/Col.2)	NJSA54:1.35.1	VALUATION	
	EFFECTIVE	MUNICIPAL	OF TRUE VALUE IN	TRUE	RATIO	ASSESSED	VALUATION	RATIO	ASSESSED	
	2002	2002	INCREASE/DECREASE	AGGREGATE	EQUALIZATION	AGGREGATE	AGGREGATE TRUE	EQUALIZATION	AGGREGATE	
			EQUALIZATION	1970	1970	1970	2002	2002	2002	
					AR	1970 BASE YEAR		(EAR)	2002 COMPARISON YEAR	

Page 1

TS2005,xls

2002 2002 2002 2002  INCREASE COST PER PUPIL COUNTY MUNICIPAL/SCHOOL OF HM. PUPILS IN COMPARISON PORTION VET/S.C. PORTION OVER BASE YEAR OF TAX RATE OF TAX RATE YEAR 1970  (10)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15.34 % 84.66 % 11.96 88.04 7.98 9.32 90.68 9.32 90.68 9.32 90.68 9.32 90.68 9.35 90.68 9.35 15.43 84.57 90.55 15.43 84.57 90.55 90.5	49.62 100 <b>\$</b>			NA	NA NA	NA NA	355 355	HUDSON COUNTY ALL MUNICIPALITIES
NCCREASE   COST PER PUPIL   COUNTY   MUNICIPAL/SCHOOL	NA 50.38 \$45,124,670	_		NA	NA	AN	0	BERGEN COUNTY
2002   2002   2002   2002   2002	.5263213% 19.69 \$49,025,771	6321	1.52	69.13	30.87	\$11,641	355	SECAUCUS
MCREASE   COST PER PUPIL   COUNTY   MUNICIPAL/SCHOOL	2.1824619% 6.93 \$11,166,072	2461	2.18	77.82	22.18		0	NORTH BERGEN
NGTON   2002	2.4305708% 17.9 \$4,833,505	0570	2.43	78.70	21.30		0	KEARNY
NGTON   2002	2.1314329% 5.1 \$2,407,474	1432	2.131	77.58	22.42		0	JERSEY CITY
NGTON   2002	3.0000000% 0 \$0	000	0.000	0.00	0.00		0	TETERBORO
2002   2002   2002   2002	1.8364320% 0.53 \$908,398	6432	1.83	88.29	11.71		0	SOUTH HACKENSACK
2002   2002   2002   2002	2.1878789% 2.96 \$2,996,119	37878	2.11	91.31	8.69		0	RUTHERFORD
2002   2002   2002   2002	1.1668123% 5.1 \$1,436,985	66812	1.1	84.57	15.43		0	RIDGEFIELD
2002 2002 2002 2002  INCREASE COST PER PUPIL COUNTY MUNICIPAL/SCHOOL / OF H.M. PUPILS IN COMPARISON PORTION VET/S.C. PORTION OVER BASSE YEAR OF TAX RATE OF TAX RATE YEAR 1970  (10)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15)  (14)  (15)  (17)  (17)  (18)  (19)  (19)  (10)  (11)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15)  (15)  (17)  (17)  (18)  (18)  (19)  (19)  (10)  (11)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15)  (15)  (17)  (17)  (18)  (18)  (18)  (19)  (19)  (10)  (10)  (11)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15)  (15)  (16)  (17)  (17)  (17)  (18)  (18)  (18)  (19)  (19)  (10)  (11)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (14)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (16)  (17)  (17)  (18)  (18)  (19)  (19)  (10)  (11)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (14)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (16)  (17)  (17)  (18)  (18)  (19)  (19)  (10)  (10)  (11)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15)  (15)  (16)  (17)  (17)  (18)  (18)  (19)  (19)  (10)  (10)  (11)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (16)  (16)  (17)  (17)  (18)  (18)  (19)  (19)  (10)  (10)  (11)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (16)  (17)  (17)  (18)  (18)  (19)  (19)  (10)  (10)  (11)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (16)  (17)  (17)  (18)  (18)  (19)  (19)  (10)  (10)  (11)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (16)  (17)  (17)  (18)  (18)  (19)  (19)  (10)  (11)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (16)  (17)  (17)  (18)  (18)  (19)  (19)  (19)  (10)  (11)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (16)  (17)  (17)  (18)  (18)  (19)  (19)  (19)  (10)  (11)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (16)  (16)  (17)  (17)  (18)  (18)  (19)  (19)  (19)  (10)  (10)  (11)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (16)  (17)  (17)  (18)  (18)  (19)  (19)  (19)  (11)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (16)  (17)  (17)  (18)  (18)  (19)  (19)  (19)  (10)  (10)  (11)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (15)  (16)  (16)  (17)  (17)  (18)  (18)  (18)  (18)  (18)  (18)  (18)  (18)	2.3436800% 2.16 \$78,331	43680	2.3	91.55	8.45		0	NORTH ARLINGTON
2002 2002 2002 2002  INCREASE COST PER PUPIL COUNTY MUNICIPAL/SCHOOL / OF H.M. PUPILS IN COMPARISON PORTION VET/S.C. PORTION OVER BASSE YEAR OF TAX RATE OF TAX RATE YEAR 1970  (10)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15.34 % 84.66 % (17.98 92.02 (17.98 92.02 (17.98 92.02 (17.98 92.02 (17.98 92.02 (17.98 92.02	3962455% 4.41 \$4,679,914	196245	1.3	85.46	14.54		0	MOONACHIE
2002 2002 2002 2002  INCREASE COST PER PUPIL COUNTY MUNICIPAL/SCHOOL / OF H.M. PUPILS IN COMPARISON PORTION VET/S.C. PORTION OVER BASSE YEAR OF TAX RATE OF TAX RATE YEAR 1970  (10)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (15.34 % 84.66 % 88.04  (17.98 92.02	.9967736% 10.36 \$9,759,609	96773	1.9	90.68	9.32		0	LYNDHURST
2002 2002 2002 2002  INCREASE COST PER PUPIL COUNTY MUNICIPAL/SCHOOL / OF H.M. PUPILS IN COMPARISON PORTION VET/S.C. PORTION OVER BASE YEAR OF TAX RATE OF TAX RATE YEAR 1970  (10)  (11)  (12)  (13)  (13)  (14)  (15.34 % 84.66 %  88.04	2.3165115% 2.34 \$2,912,490	116511	2.3	92.02	7.98		0	LITTLE FERRY
2002 2002 2002 2002  INCREASE COST PER PUPIL COUNTY MUNICIPAL/SCHOOL / OF H.M. PUPILS IN COMPARISON PORTION VET/S.C. PORTION OVER BASE YEAR OF TAX RATE OF TAX RATE YEAR 1970  (10) (11) (12) (13) (15.34 % 84.66 %	.6085788% 10.42 \$8,391,503	608578	<del></del>	88.04	11.96		0	EAST RUTHERFORD
2602 2002 2002 COST PER PUPIL COUNTY MUNICIPAL/SCHOOL / IN COMPARISON PORTION VET./S.C. PORTION YEAR OF TAX RATE OF TAX RATE  (11) (12) (13)	.2716779% 12.10 % \$13,961,321	2716779	<del>.</del>		15.34 %		0	CARLSTADT
2602 2002 2002 COST PER PUPIL COUNTY MUNICIPAL/SCHOOL / IN COMPARISON PORTION VET/S.C. PORTION YEAR OF TAX RATE OF TAX RATE	(15)		(14)	(13)	(12)	(11)	(10)	
2002 2002 2002 COST PER PUPIL COUNTY MUNICIPAL/SCHOOL / IN COMPARISON PORTION VET./S.C. PORTION YEAR OF TAX RATE OF TAX RATE							YEAR 1970	
2002 2002 2002  COST PER PUPIL COUNTY MUNICIPAL/SCHOOL IN COMPARISON PORTION VET/S/C. PORTION	IL 13) EACH MUNICIPALITY	* COL. 13	(COL 9	OF TAX RATE	OF TAX RATE	YEAR	OVER BASE	
2002 2002 2002	RATE LAND AREA FOR TAXES OVER 1970	ATE ONMEN	APPORT.	WUNICIPAL/SCHOOL VET/S.C. PORTION	COUNTY	COST PER PUPIL IN COMPARISON	INCREASE	
	2002 PERCENT OF 2002	02	20	2002	2002	2002	2002	

\$35,125,790	\$30,993,235	\$4,132,555	\$0	\$35,125,788	52,688,678	\$87,814,466	ALL MUNICIPALITIES
\$19,511,398	\$15,378,843	\$4,132,555	\$0	\$19,301,057	28,951,585	\$48,252,642	HUDSON COUNTY
\$15,614,392	\$15,614,392	\$0	80	\$15,824,731	23,737,093	\$39,561,824	BERGEN COUNTY
300000000000000000000000000000000000000		6.1.00	4	4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	the character of the same		200000000000000000000000000000000000000
10 216 103	\$6 102 \$68	\$4 137 555	ŝ	202 255 213	016 722 063	915 108 tts	SECALICIES
\$2,147,831	\$2,147,831	. \$0	\$0	\$3,475,775	\$5,213,663	\$8,689,438	NORTH BERGEN
\$5,547,789	\$5,547,789	\$0	. \$0	\$1,521,588	\$2,282,381	\$3,803,969	KEARNY
\$1,580,655	\$1,580,655	\$0	\$0	\$747,088	\$1,120,631	\$1,867,719	JERSEY CITY
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	TETERBORO
\$164,264	\$164,264	\$0	\$0	\$320,810	\$481,214	\$802,024	SOUTH HACKENSACK
\$917,400	\$917,400	\$0	\$0	\$1,094,302	\$1,641,454	\$2,735,756	RUTHERFORD
\$1,580,655	\$1,580,655	\$0	0.8	\$486,103	\$729,155	\$1,215,258	RIDGEFIELD
\$669,454	\$669,454	\$0	\$0	\$28,685	\$43,027	\$71,712	NORTH ARLINGTON
\$1,366,802	\$1,366,802	\$0	\$0	\$1,599,782	\$2,399,672	\$3,999,454	MOONACHIE
\$3,210,899	\$3,210,899	\$0	\$0	\$3,540,006	\$5,310,008	\$8,850,014	LYNDHURST
\$725,242	\$725,242	\$0	\$0	\$1,072,029	\$1,608,044	\$2,680,073	LITTLE FERRY
\$3,229,495	\$3,229,495	\$0	\$0	\$2,955,152	\$4,432,727	\$7,387,879	EAST RUTHERFORD
\$3,750,181	\$3,750,181	\$0	\$0	\$4,727,862	\$7,091,792	\$11,819,654	CARLSTADT
(24)	(23)	(22)	(21)	(20)	(19)	(18)	
21+22+23)		(5011 12)		(000 100 000 100)	(00/11 04 0000 10)	(Col. 14 * Col. 7)	
MUNICIPALITY  TOTAL OF COLLIMNS	[COL_ 20 -{COLS, 21+22}]	PAYMENTS	PAYMENTS	TO TAX SHARING	RETENTION  RETENTION	POST 1970 RATARIES	
TOTAL CREDIT DUE	APPORTIONMENT PAYMENTS	SCHOOL SERVICE		TOTAL SUBJECT	DIRECT	(SECTION 13:17 - 67) 102 TAXES COLLECTED	

EXE
`*
_
_
팶
-
-
•
₩

PRE-ADJUSTIMENT	S0	(\$2)	\$2	\$1	(\$2)	\$3	ALL MUNICIPALITIES
PRE-ADJUSTMENT   PRE-ADJUSTMENT   ADJUSTMENT   TOTAL   2005     PAYMENT   ADJUSTMENT   TOTAL   2005     CC01.24-20)   FOR 2004   FOR 2003   2005   ADJ. PAYMENT     C25    (26)   (27)   (28)   (29)   (29)   (29)     C25    (26)   (27)   (28)   (29)   (29)   (29)     C25    (26)   (27)   (28)   (29)   (29)   (29)     C27    (28)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)     C28    (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)     C29    (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)     C29    (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)     C29    (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)     C29    (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)   (29)     C29    (29)	(\$4,502)	\$361,001	\$356,503	\$77,503	\$68,658	\$210,342	HUDSON COUNTY
PRE-ADJUSTIMENT PRE-ADJUSTIMENT  PRE-ADJUSTIMENT  CG.1. 24 - 20)  CG.1. 24 - 20)  CG.1. 24 - 20)  CG.2. 20  CG.2. 20  CG.2. 24 - 20)  CG.2. 20  CG	\$4,502	(\$361,003)	(\$356,501)	(\$77,502)	(\$68,660)	(\$210,339)	BERGEN COUNTY
PRE-ADJUSTIMENT   ADJUSTIMENT   TOTAL   2005   ADJ. PAYMENT   PREVADUSTIMENT   ADJUSTIMENT   TOTAL   2005   ADJ. PAYMENT   PAYMENT   FOR 2004   FOR 2003   2005   ADJ. PAYMENT   ADJUSTIMENT   PAYMENT   PAY	(\$13,841)	(\$3,375,643)	(\$3,389,480)	(\$34,537)	(\$33,460)	(\$3,321,483)	SECAUCUS
PRE-ADJUSTIMENT PRE-ADJUSTIMENT PRE-ADJUSTIMENT PRE-ADJUSTIMENT PRE-ADJUSTIMENT  (Col. 24 - 20) POR 2004 POR 2004 POR 2003 POR 2005 POR 2004 POR 2003 POR 2005 POR 2005 POR 2004 POR 2003 POR 2005 POR 20	\$888	(\$1,035,248)	(\$1,034,359)	\$152,383	\$141,202	(\$1,327,944)	NORTH BERGEN
PRE-ADJUSTMENT PRE-ADJUSTMENT  PRE-ADJUSTMENT  PRE-ADJUSTMENT  CCol. 24 - 20)  FOR 2004  FOR 2003  CCol. 24 - 20)  FOR 2004  FOR 2003  RECALCULATION  RECALCULATION  (\$10,358)  (\$977,681)  (\$25)  (\$26)  (\$27)  (\$10,358)  (\$10,358)  (\$10,358)  (\$293,407)  (\$299,407)  (\$299,407)  (\$2987,228)  (\$274,343  (\$15,948)  (\$15,948)  (\$17,695)  (\$18,277)  (\$24,104)  (\$232,980)  (\$232,980)  (\$232,980)  (\$232,980)  (\$232,980)  (\$23,670)  (\$23,670)  (\$23,789)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$248,209)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$248,209)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$248,209)  (\$247,953)  (\$247,953)  (\$248,209)  (\$247,953)  (\$248,209)  (\$247,953)  (\$248,209)  (\$247,953)  (\$248,209)  (\$247,953)  (\$248,209)  (\$247,953)  (\$248,209)  (\$247,953)  (\$248,209)  (\$248,209)  (\$247,953)  (\$248,209)  (\$247,953)  (\$248,209)  (\$247,953)  (\$248,209)  (\$247,953)  (\$248,209)	\$6,576	\$3,957,811	\$3,964,387	(\$31,397)	(\$30,417)	\$4,026,201	KEARNY
PRE-ADJUSTMENT  PRE-ADJUSTMENT  ADJUSTMENT  PRE-ADJUSTMENT  PAYMENT  (Col. 24 - 20)  FOR 2004  FOR 2003  (Col. 24 - 20)  FOR 2004  RECALCULATION  (S577,681)  (S577,681)  (S577,581)  (S577,481)  (S577,581)  (S577,581)  (S577,581)  (S577,581)  (S577,581)  (S577,798)  (S577,891)  (S577,891)  (S577,891)  (S577,891)  (S577,891)  (S577,992)  (S577,993)  (S578,777)  (S588,772)  ENSACK  (S577)  (S577)  (S577)  (S577)  (S577)  (S578,777)  (S578,778)  (S578,777)  (S578,778)  (S57	\$1,874	\$814,081	\$815,955	(\$8,946)	(\$8,667)	\$833,568	JERSEY CITY
PRE-ADJUSTMENT PRE-ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT PRAYMENT ADJUSTMENT PROR 2004 CCol. 24 - 20) FOR 2004 FOR 2003 CCol. 24 - 20) FOR 2004 FOR 2003 CCol. 24 - 20) FOR 2004 FOR 2003 FOR 2004 FOR 2003 FOR 2003 FOR 2003 FOR 2004 FOR 2003 FOR 2003 FOR 2004 FOR 2003 FOR 2004 FOR 2003 FOR 2005 FOR 2004 FOR 2003 FOR 2004 FOR 2003 FOR 2005 FOR	\$0	\$0	0.8	\$0	\$0	\$0	TETERBORO
PRE-ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  PRE-ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  PRAYMENT ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  (Col. 24 - 20) FOR 2004 FOR 2003 2005  (Col. 24 - 20) FOR 2004 FOR 2003 2005  (S977,681) (26) (27) (28) (29) (39  (S977,681) (55,368) (\$10,358) (\$993,407) (\$987,728) (\$977,681) (\$15,948) (\$15,948) (\$18,277) \$240,118 \$237,798 (\$329,107) (\$31,597) (\$17,605) (\$17,605) (\$17,605) (\$1,094,552 (\$3,670) (\$3,789) \$633,310 \$632,516 \$1,094,552 (\$8,667) (\$8,946) \$1,076,939 \$1,075,065 (\$188,712) (\$188,712)	\$195	(\$158,572)	(\$158,377)	(\$930)	(\$901)	(\$156,546)	SOUTH HACKENSACK
PRE-ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  PRE-ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  PRAYMENT ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  (Col. 24 - 20) FOR 2004 FOR 2003 2005  (Col. 24 - 20) FOR 2004 FOR 2003 2005  (Col. 24 - 20) FOR 2004 FOR 2003  (Col. 24 - 20) FOR 2004  (Col. 24 - 20) FOR 2005  (Col. 24 - 20) FOR 2005  (Col. 24 - 20)  (Col. 24 - 20) FOR 2005  (Col. 24 - 20)  (Col. 25 - 20  (Col. 24 - 20)  (Col. 26 - 20  (Col. 26 - 20  (Col. 27 - 20  (Col. 28 - 20	\$1,088	(\$188,212)	(\$187,124)	(\$5,192)	(\$5,030)	(\$176,902)	RUTHERFORD
PRE-ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  PRE-ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  PAYMENT ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  (Col. 24 - 20) FOR 2004 FOR 2003 2005  RECALCULATION RECALCULATION ADJUSTMENT PAYMENT PREV. CALCULATED DIFFER (25) (25) (27) (28) (29) (30  (S977,681) (\$5,368) (\$10,358) (\$993,407) (\$987,228) (\$977,28) (\$10,358) (\$10,3	\$1,874	\$1,075,065	\$1,076,939	(\$8,946)	(\$8,667)	\$1,094,552	RIDGEFIELD
PRE-ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  PRE-ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  PRAYMENT ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  PRAYMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  FOR 2004 FOR 2003 2005  RECALCULATION RECALCULATION ADJUSTMENT PAYMENT PREV. CALCULATED DIFFER (25) (25) (27) (28) (29) (30  (5977,681) (55,368) (\$10,358) (\$993,407) (\$987,228) (\$977,681) (\$15,948) (\$10,358) (\$10,358) (\$3240,118 \$237,798 (\$346,787) (\$346,787) (\$3,977) (\$4,104) (\$354,868) (\$355,728) (\$329,107) (\$17,605) (\$18,171) (\$364,883) (\$368,689) (\$232,980) (\$7,494) (\$7,735) (\$248,209) (\$247,953)	\$794	\$632,516	\$633,310	(\$3,789)	(\$3,670)	\$640,769	NORTH ARLINGTON
PRE-ADJUSTIMENT  PRE-ADJUSTIMENT  ADJUSTIMENT  ADJUSTIMENT  ADJUSTIMENT  ADJUSTIMENT  ADJUSTIMENT  ADJUSTIMENT  ADJUSTIMENT  ADJUSTIMENT  FOR 2003  C(Col. 24 - 20)  FOR 2004  FOR 2003  ADJUSTIMENT PREV. CALCULATED  DIFFER  (25)  (26)  (27)  (28)  (29)  (30  (3977,681)  (\$5,368)  (\$10,358)  (\$10,358)  (\$993,407)  (\$987,228)  FORD  \$274,343  (\$15,948)  (\$15,948)  (\$317,605)  (\$18,171)  (\$364,883)  (\$368,689)	(\$256)	(\$247,953)	(\$248,209)	(\$7,735)	(\$7,494)	(\$232,980)	MOONACHIE
PRE-ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  PAYMENT ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  (Col. 24 - 20) FOR 2004 FOR 2003 2005 ADJ. PAYMENT  (Col. 24 - 20) RECALCULATION RECALCULATION ADJUSTMENT PREV. CALCULATED DIFFER  (25) (26) (27) (28) (29) (30  (3977,681) (55,368) (\$10,358) (\$993,407) (\$987,228)  ERFORD \$274,343 (\$15,948) (\$15,948) (\$38,277) \$240,118 \$237,798  (\$346,787) (\$346,787) (\$3,977) (\$4,104) (\$354,868) (\$355,728)	\$3,806	(\$368,689)	(\$364,883)	(\$18,171)	(\$17,605)	(\$329,107)	LYNDHURST
PRE-ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  PAYMENT ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  (Col. 24 - 20) FOR 2004 FOR 2003 2005 ADJ. PAYMENT  (Col. 24 - 20) RECALCULATION RECALCULATION ADJUSTMENT PREV. CALCULATED DIFFER  (25) (26) (27) (28) (29) (30  (S977,681) (\$5,368) (\$10,358) (\$93,407) (\$987,228)  ERFORD \$274,343 (\$15,948) (\$18,277) \$240,118 \$237,798	\$860	(\$355,728)	(\$354,868)	(\$4,104)	(\$3,977)	(\$346,787)	LITTLE FERRY
2005  PRE-ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  PAYMENT ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005  (Col. 24 - 20) FOR 2004 FOR 2003 2005 ADJ. PAYMENT  (Col. 24 - 20) RECALCULATION RECALCULATION ADJUSTMENT PREV. CALCULATED DIFFER  (25) (26) (27) (28) (393,407) (\$987,228)	\$2,320	\$237,798	\$240,118	(\$18,277)	(\$15,948)	\$274,343	EAST RUTHERFORD
ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005 FOR 2004 FOR 2003 2005 ADJ. PAYMENT RECALCULATION RECALCULATION ADJUSTMENT PAYMENT PREV. CALCULATED (26) (27) (28)	(\$6,179)	(\$987,228)	(\$993,407)	(\$10,358)	(\$5,368)	(\$977,681)	CARLSTADT
ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL 2005 FOR 2004 FOR 2003 2005 ADJ. PAYMENT RECALCULATION RECALCULATION ADJUSTMENT PAYMENT PREV. CALCULATED	(30)	(29)	(28)	(27)	(26)	(25)	
ADJUSTMENT ADJUSTMENT TOTAL FOR 2004 FOR 2003 2005	DIFFERENCE	REV. CALCULATED	ADJUSTMENT PAYMENT P		RECALCULATION		
PRE-ADJUSTMENT		2005 ADJ, PAYMENT	TOTAL 2005	ADJUSTMENT FOR 2003	ADJUSTMENT FOR 2004	PAYMENT (Col. 24 - 20)	
						PRE-ADJUSTMENT	

TS2005.xls

# NEW JERSEY MEADOWLANDS COMMISSION 2004 IN LIEU TAX PAYMENTS

SCHEDULE I

ALL MUNICIPALITIES	HUDSON COUNTY	BERGEN COUNTY	SECAUCUS (B)	NORTH BERGEN (B)	KEARNY	JERSEY CITY	TETERBORO	SOUTH HACKENSACK	RUTHERFORD	RIDGEFIELD	NORTH ARLINGTON	MOONACHE	LYNDHURST	LITTLE FERRY	EAST RUTHERFORD (A,B)	CARLSTADT	MUNICIPALITY:
\$3,673,128	\$47,445	\$3,625,683	\$47,445	. \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$16,335	\$0	\$0	\$3,609,348	\$0	IN LIEU OF TAX PAYMENT
			2.745	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2.530	N/A	N/A	2.390	N/A	тах рате
\$153,392,812	\$1,728,415	\$151,664,397	\$1,728,415	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$645,652	N/A	N/A	\$151,018,745	N/A	ASSUMED ASSESSED VALUATION COL. 1/2
I	I	I	68.51	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$61.73	N/A	A/N	65.53	N/A	EQUALIZATION RATIO 54:1.35.1
\$234,026,211	\$2,522,866	\$231,503,345	\$2,522,866	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,045,929	N/A	N/A	\$230,457,416	N/A	EQUALIZED VALUATION COL. 3/4

(A) INCLUDES PAYMENT FROM N.J.S.E.A.

(B) NJMC OWNED PROPERTIES

NEW JERSEY MEADOWLANDS COMMISSION
2004 COMPARISON YEAR
REVISION OF TAX RATES
TO ADJUST FOR COMPOUNDING

JERSEY CITY KEARNY NORTH BERGEN SECAUCUS	RUTHERFORD SOUTH HACKENSACK TETERBORO	LITTLE FEXRY LYNDHURST MOONACHTE NORTH ARLINGTON	CARLSTADT EAST RUTHERFORD	
4.506 7.030 3.958 2.745	3.520 2.490 NA	3.690 3.060 2.530 3.490	(1) 2.550 2.390	COL. 7* 2004 GENERAL TAX RATE
\$245,336,984 \$74,519,216 \$94,165,157 \$68,822,758	\$43,829,563 \$43,829,563 \$9,566,745 NA	\$21,284,173 \$43,056,603 \$10,158,366 \$27,841,632	COMPUTED (2) \$26,741,148 \$20,694,106	SEC. 12-D* TAX LEVY ON WHICH TAX RATE IS
\$761,036 \$3,802,995 (\$1,553,946) (\$2,925,968)	3941,490 (\$133,604) (\$134,596) NA	(\$293,630) (\$262,231) (\$124,536) \$601,630	(3) (\$1,124,968) \$446,322	2004 ADJUSTMENT PAYMENT
\$246,098,020 \$78,322,211 \$92,611,211 \$65,896,790	\$43,695,959 \$43,695,959 \$9,432,149 NA	\$20,990,543 \$42,794,372 \$10,033,830 \$28,443,262	(4) \$25,616,180 \$21,140,428	ADJ. TAX LEVY
\$5,326,519,066 \$1,060,034,824 \$2,379,353,705 \$2,507,940,973	\$1,247,664,465 \$1,247,664,465 \$384,400,329 NA	\$578,180,852 \$1,411,640,653 \$401,789,178 \$798,549,999	(5) \$1,049,130,454 \$868,410,644	COL 6* NET VALUATION TAXABLE
\$4.620 \$7.389 \$3.892 \$2.628	\$3.502 \$3.502 \$2.454 NA	\$3,630 \$3,032 \$2,497 \$3,562		ADJ. TAX RATE (4)/(5)
\$63,741,033 \$14,820,150 \$20,133,726 \$18,637,085	\$3,831,132 \$3,831,132 \$923,544 NA	\$1,790,669 \$4,073,626 \$1,143,459 \$2,252,340	(7) \$3,177,626 \$2,577,475	SEC.12-AJII* NET COUNTY TAXES APPORTIONED
25.901% 18.922% 21.740% 28.282%	8.768% 9.791% NA	8.531% 9.519% 11.396% 7.919%	(8) 12.405% 12.192%	TAX RATE % COUNTY TAXES (7)/(4)
74,099% 81,078% 78,260% 71,718%	91.232% 91.232% 90.209% NA	91.469% 90.481% 88.604% 92.081%	(9) 87.595% 87.808%	TAX RATE % ALL OTHER USES

Final - 12/12/06

Page 1

HMDC STUDENT ENROLLMENT AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2004 WITH BASE YEAR 1970

MUNICIPALITY:	LOCAL DISTRICT SCHOOL ENROLLMENT	REGIONAL DISTRICT SCHOOL ENROLLMENT	LOCAL and REGIONAL SCHOOL ENROLLMENT	1970 BASE YEAR H.M.D.C. SCHOOL ENROLLMENT	2004 H.M.D.C. ENROLLMENT	INCREASE (DECREASE) STUDENT ENROLLMENT
CARLSTADT	466	210	676	. 14	0	-14
EAST RUTHERFORD	735	263	998	26	0	-26
LITTLE FERRY	1322	0	1322	274	192	-82
LYNDHURST	2218	0	2218	0	0	0
MOONACHIE	399	0	399	223	195	-28
NORTH ARLINGTON	1567	0	1567	. 0	0	0
RIDGEFIELD	1794	0	1794	0	0	0
RUTHERFORD	2482	0	2482	0	0	0
SOUTH HACKENSACK	301	0	301	0	0	0
TETERBORO	0	0	0	0	0	0
JERSEY CITY	31274	0	31274	16	46	30
KEARNY	5227	0	5227	0	0	0
NORTH BERGEN	7121	0	7121	29	0	-29
SECAUCUS	1811	0	1811	408	805	397
BERGEN COUNTY	11284	473	11757	537	387	-150
HUDSON COUNTY	45433	0	45433	453	851	398
ALL MUNICIPALITIES	56717	473	57190	990	1238	248

Final - 12/12/06

hmdenrl-

Final - 12/12/06

NEW JERSEY MEADOWLANDS COMMISSION 2004 SCHOOL TAX DATA

2007 TAX SHARING SCHEDULE		MEN JUN	2004 SCHOOL TAX DATA			SCHEDULE 4
Final 12/12/06 MUNICIPALITY:	LOCAL TAXES AS REQUIRED BY DISTRICT SCHOOL BUDGET	LOCAL TAXES AS REQUIRED BY REGIONAL SCHOOL BUDGET	BY LOCAL MUNICIPAL BUDGET	TOTAL SCHOOL TAXES COLUMNS(1+2+3)	TOTAL SCHOOL DISTRICT ENROLLMENT	COST PER PUPIL COL 4/5
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
CARLSTADT	\$6,811,889	\$4,744,322	\$0	<b>\$</b> 11,556,211	676	\$17,095
EAST RUTHERFORD	\$10,044,724	\$4,040,146	\$0	\$14,084,870	998	\$14,113
LITTLE FERRY	\$12,156,893	\$0	\$0	\$12,156,893	1322	\$9,196
LYNDHURST	\$22,846,118	\$0	\$0	\$22,846,118	2218	\$10,300
MOONACHIE	\$5,536,271	\$0	\$0	\$5,536,271	399	\$13,875
NORTH ARLINGTON	\$15,975,050	\$0	\$0	\$15,975,050	1567	\$10,195
RIDGEFIELD	\$9,538,845	\$0		\$9,538,845	1794	. \$5,317
RUTHERFORD	\$26,680,113	\$0	\$0	\$26,680,113	2482	\$10,749
SOUTH HACKENSACK	\$3,898,969	\$0	\$0	\$3,898,969	301	\$12,953
TETERBORO	\$321,712	\$0	\$0	\$321,712	0	\$0
TERSEY CITY	\$72,094,096	\$0	\$5,836,587	\$77,930,683	- 31274	\$2,492
KEARNY	\$0	\$0	\$37,976,997	\$37,976,997	5227	\$7,266
NORTH BERGEN	\$33,921,936	\$0	\$0	\$33,921,936	7121	\$4,764
SECAUCUS	\$23,588,986	\$0	\$0	\$23,588,986	1811	\$13,025
BERGEN COUNTY	\$113,810,584	\$8,784,468	\$0	\$122,595,052	11757	
HUDSON COUNTY	\$129,605,018	\$0	\$43,813,584	\$173,418,602	45433	
ALL MUNICIPALITIES	\$243,415,602	\$8,784,468	\$43,813,584	\$296,013,654	57190	

# RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A \$175,000 CONTRIBUTION TO THE MEADOWLANDS CONSERVATION TRUST

WHEREAS, in Calendar Year 2006, the NJMC authorized the contribution of \$206,250 for operating expenses for the Meadowlands Conservation Trust; and

WHEREAS, the Trust was created for the purpose of acquiring open space located in the Hackensack Meadowlands or within the Hackensack River watershed, to be permanently preserved and managed in their natural state; and

WHEREAS, the Trust has been receiving revenues from the sale of special Meadowlands license plates for its administrative expenses and land acquisition activities; and

WHEREAS, these revenues are not sufficient to fully cover its activities.

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission the Executive Director is authorized to appropriate \$175,000 to the Meadowlands Conservation Trust for operating expenses for the period January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007.

Susan Bass Levin Chairman

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true copy of the Resolution adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission at its meeting of January 10, 2007.

Robert R. Ceberio Secretary

## **New Jersey Meadowlands Commission**

To:	NJMC Commissioners and Robert I	R. Ceberio, Executive Director	_
From:	Irfan A. Bora	Date:	January 10, 2007
Subject:	Meadowlands Conservation Trust -	- CY2007 Appropriation	

For calendar year 2006, the NJMC authorized the contribution of \$206,250 for expenses for the Meadowlands Conservation Trust. The Trust was created for the purpose of acquiring open space located in the Hackensack Meadowlands or within the Hackensack River watershed, to be permanently preserved and managed in their natural state.

The Trust has been receiving revenues from the sale of special Meadowlands license plates for its administrative expenses and land acquisition activities. However, these revenues are not sufficient to fully cover its activities. This resolution will authorize the Executive Director to appropriate \$175,000 for operating expenses for the period January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007.

# RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO APPROPRIATE \$100,000 FOR SURVEYING SERVICES

WHEREAS, pursuant to N.JS.A. 13:17-1 the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission is charged with the necessity to consider ecological factors constituting the environment of the meadowlands and the need to preserve the delicate balance of nature, and

**WHEREAS**, the Commission has previously appropriated \$100,000 (NJMC Resolution 03-33) for surveying services; and

WHEREAS, the NJMC desires to acquire the remaining wetlands and selected open space within the Hackensack Meadowland's District in furtherance of the Commission's conservations and recreation goals, and

WHEREAS, various Federal and State regulations require compensation for the taking of property; and

WHEREAS, the powers set forth in N.J.S.A. 13:17-6(g) authorize the NJMC to acquire, by purchase or eminent domain, on such terms and conditions and in such manner as it may deem proper, or by the exercise of the power of eminent domain any land or interest therein that it may determine is reasonably necessary for the performance of any of its duties, and

WHEREAS, Federal and State authorities have made funds available to the NJMC for the acquisition of wetlands and open space through negotiation and/or eminent domain,

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** by the NJMC that the Executive Director is hereby authorized to enter into contracts with firms that provide surveying services in order to evaluate wetlands and open space properties with the Hackensack Meadowlands District.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the NJMC Fiscal Year 2007 Budget is hereby amended by \$100,000 to reflect this expenditure.

Susan Bass Levin Chairman

	I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true copy of the Re	solution	adopted b	y the
New	ersey Meadowlands Commission at its meeting of January	y 10, 2007		

Robert R. Ceberio Secretary

# **New Jersey Meadowlands Commission**

То:	NJMC Commissioners and Robert R. Ceberio, Execu	ative Director	
From:	Christine A. Sanz	Date:	January 10, 2007
Subject:	Open Space Acquisition - Surveying Services	·	

Pursuant to N.JS.A. 13:17-1 the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission is charged with the necessity to consider ecological factors constituting the environment of the meadowlands and the need to preserve the delicate balance of nature.

The Commission has previously appropriated \$100,000 (NJMC Resolution 03-33) for surveying services. The Commission desires to acquire the remaining wetlands and selected open space within the Hackensack Meadowland's District in furtherance of the Commission's conservations and recreation goals.

The NJMC Fiscal Year 2007 Budget is hereby amended by \$100,000 to reflect this expenditure.

# RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT FOR THE MAINTENANCE OF THE ASIA PLACE DRAINAGE SYSTEM

WHEREAS, in developing the Hackensack Meadowlands Floodplain Management Plan, the NJMC has identified the Asia Place drainage system in Carlstadt and Moonachie as in need of immediate repair; and

WHEREAS, the Asia Place drainage system, bounded by Gotham Parkway, Peach Island Creek, Washington Avenue, and Moonachie Avenue, located in Carlstadt and Moonachie, is in poor overall condition; and

WHEREAS, plans and specifications for the "Maintenance of the Asia Place Drainage System" were prepared by the NJMC staff and publicly bid, with five (5) bids received on December 15, 2006; and

WHEREAS, A Touch of Green Contracting & Landscaping, Inc. of Towaco, NJ, was the lowest responsible bidder, with a bid in the amount of \$326,443; and

WHEREAS, staff review of the bid indicates that the bid is reasonable and proper, as outlined in the attached memorandum; and

WHEREAS, the project will be funded through the Flood Control Reserve/MAGNET program in the amount of \$326,443.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission that the Executive Director is hereby authorized to enter into a contract with A Touch of Green Contracting & Landscaping, Inc. for the "Maintenance of the Asia Place Drainage System" at a cost of \$326,443; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission that the NJMC 2007 budget be amended by \$326,443.

The foregoing resolution was adopted on Commission vote.

Susan Bass Levin Chairman I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true copy of the Resolution adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission at its meeting of January 10, 2007.

Robert R. Ceberio Secretary

## **New Jersey Meadowlands Commission**

To:	NJMC Commissioners and Robert R. Ceberio, Executive Director		
From:	Ileana Kafrouni	Date: January 10, 2007	
Subject:	Maintenance of the Asia Place Drainage System, Contract SP-498		

On December 15, 2006, bids were received and opened for the above-referenced contract. The NJMC is currently working on behalf of the Boroughs of Carlstadt and Moonachie to alleviate the continual flooding problems between Gotham Parkway and Washington Avenue, south of Moonachie Avenue. The Maintenance of the Asia Place Drainage System Project will reduce the frequency of flooding in the immediate area by restoring local drainage ditches and storm sewer lines. Additionally, the outfall of the drainage system at Palmer Terrace is being restored and fitted with a tide gate to prevent tidal flow from traveling upstream.

During the bid period, a total of seventeen (17) prospective bidders purchased the contract documents. Five (5) bids were received and are listed as follows from lowest to highest:

A Touch of Green Contracting & Landscaping, Inc.	\$326,443
Marvec Construction Corporation	\$333,400
T&T Commonwealth	\$594,000
Hutton Construction LLC	\$886,319
Montana Construction Corp. Inc.	\$980,120

A review of the bid packages indicates that they were complete and in order. Therefore, we are requesting Commission authorization for the Executive Director to enter into a contract with A Touch of Green Contracting & Landscaping, Inc. for the fixed fee cost of \$326,443.

This project will be funded through the Flood Control Reserve/MAGNET program in the amount of \$326,443. Approval of this resolution will amend the NJMC 2007 budget by \$326,443.

#### RESOLUTION: CLOSED SESSION

WHEREAS, the Open Public Meetings Act, Chapter 231, P.L. 1975, permits the holding of closed session by public bodies in certain circumstances; and

WHEREAS, the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission is of the opinion that those circumstances presently exist.

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

- 1. That a closed session of the NJMC will be held to discuss the following:
- 2. It is anticipated at this time that the above subject matter(s) will be made public upon completion of said matter(s).

This Resolution shall become effective immediately. The foregoing Resolution was adopted by Commission vote.

Susan Bass Levin Chairman

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true copy of the Resolution adopted by the New Jersey Meadowlands Commission at its meeting of January 10, 2007

Robert R. Ceberio Secretary